Marquette Law Review

Volume 98 Issue 2 *Winter* 2014

Article 4

(Sub)Urban Poverty and Regional Interest Convergence

Patience A. Crowder

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/mulr Part of the Law and Economics Commons, and the Law and Society Commons

Repository Citation

Patience A. Crowder, (*Sub*)*Urban Poverty and Regional Interest Convergence*, 98 Marq. L. Rev. 763 (2014). Available at: http://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/mulr/vol98/iss2/4

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Marquette Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Marquette Law Review by an authorized administrator of Marquette Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact megan.obrien@marquette.edu.

(SUB)URBAN POVERTY AND REGIONAL INTEREST CONVERGENCE

PATIENCE A. CROWDER*

Poverty has expanded from America's urban cores to its inner and outer suburban rings. In the midst of spreading hardship, new opportunities for confronting questions of regional equity are emerging, such as how best to govern our regional spaces for the benefit of all regional constituents, including the poor, middle class, and affluent. To date, governance theories have proven inadequate to this task. In the parlance of the current regional governance discourse, localists, regionalists, and new regionalists need a framework to make a reality of their seemingly disparate and inconsistent visions of local versus regional interests. Localists champion the autonomy of local governments as the appropriate form of regional management. Regionalists, on the other hand, advocate for mechanisms of regional governance to manage the maintenance and development of regional spaces. While new regionalists have advocated practical steps to eliminate the causes of regional inequities, encouraging more efficient fiscal and land use planning cooperation between local governments in a metropolitan region, new regionalism as a social movement is stalled largely because it has existed as a set of ideals without a framework for effectuating those ideals. This

^{*} Assistant Professor of Law, The University of Denver Sturm College of Law. B.A., Georgetown University; J.D., Rutgers University School of Law-Newark. I am extremely grateful to several individuals for their invaluable insights on this project, including Michèle Alexandre, Roberto Corrada, Nancy Ehrenreich, Eric Franklin, Christopher Hill, Chris Lasch, Audrey McFarlane, Susannah Pollvogt, Tom Romero, Nantiya Ruan, Catherine Smith, Robin Walker Sterling, and the members of the Denver Law's Rocky Mountain Collective on Race, Place & Law (RPL). I thank Daniel Graham, Elizabeth Pophal, LaLonnie Villa-Martinez, and Maggie Wesley for their thorough and diligent research assistance. I thank the University of Denver Sturm College of Law for providing generous financial and research support, and I am grateful to the editorial staff of Marquette Law Review for their stellar editing assistance. This article benefited from comments received at the following conferences: ClassCrits VI Conference at Southwestern Law School; AALS Conference on Clinical Legal Education in San Juan, Puerto Rico; CRT and Empirical Methods Public Symposium and Fourth Working Group Meeting at the University of Iowa College of Law; the 1st Annual Local Government Law Works in Progress Conference at Marquette University Law School; and the 6th Annual Lutie A. Lytle Black Women Law Faculty Writing Workshop at Suffolk University Law School. Finally, I'd like to thank the late Professor Derrick Bell for leaving a light on.

Article introduces a new strategy, regional interest convergence, as a new social justice framework to effectuate new regionalism and revive the movement. Regional interest convergence, a reconceptualization of the interest convergence theory first articulated by Professor Derrick Bell, provides a framework for beginning to address both urban and suburban poverty.

I.	INT	RODUCTION	765
II.	THE	E CAUSES AND PERSISTENCE OF REGIONAL INEQUITY	770
	Α.	The Simultaneous Emergence of Metropolitan	
		Regions and Regional Inequity	772
	В.	Expanding Regional Inequity: Suburban Poverty	776
	C.	Governance Failings Perpetuate Regional Inequities	781
		1. The Dimensions of Localism	
		2. The First Generation of Regionalism	
		(Old Regionalism)	786
		3. New Regionalism's Unfulfilled Promise	793
III. TOWARDS A NEW STRATEGY FOR REGIONAL GOVERNANCE:			
	INT	EREST CONVERGENCE	796
	Α.	Interest Convergence Theory	796
	В.	Interest Convergence Methodology	798
		1. Multiple Constituent Groups	803
		2. Multiple Interests	
		3. Subordinated Party/Type of Subordination	803
		4. Medium for Convergence	
		5. Resulting Convergence	
IV.	REG	GIONAL INTEREST CONVERGENCE: A NEW REGIONALISM	1
	RE	VIVAL	805
	Α.	Regional Constituents	807
		1. The Localists' Autonomy Interest	808
		2. The Regionalists' Equity Interests	809
	В.	Regional Interest Convergence Methodology	810
		1. Multiple Constituent Groups	813
		2. Multiple Interests	813
		3. Subordinated Party/Type of Subordination	815
		4. Medium for Convergence: Regional Organizing	
		Through Interest-Based Negotiation	815
	С.	Resulting Convergence: Regional Interest Convergence	
		in Practice	822
V.	CON	NCLUSION	829

I. INTRODUCTION

"Poverty is not an accident. Like slavery and apartheid, it is man-made and can be removed by the actions of human beings." —Nelson Mandela¹

"A Region," somebody has wryly said, "is an area safely larger than the last one to whose problems we found no solution."

-Jane Jacobs²

America's War on Poverty began approximately fifty years ago under the administration of President Lyndon B. Johnson.³ While a "war" is typically defined as a state of open, armed conflict between parties,⁴ the War on Poverty pitted legislative and policy tools of government against not a party but, as the quotes above assert, a condition that lies within our collective control to alleviate.⁵ Of course, having the ability to do something and wanting to do that something are two different things. Comprehensively altering the course of poverty in America will require a fundamental shift in our approach to poverty relief. Because the dynamism of poverty manifests itself in myriad ways, an important first step is to make sure that we have a shared understanding of poverty. To that end, I invite you, the reader, to take the following short quiz to test your poverty IQ.

^{1.} Chris Williams, *The Price of Politics and Poverty*, HUFFINGTON POST (Nov. 13, 2012, 12:24 PM), http://www.huffingtonpost.com/chris-williams/the-price-of-politics-and_b_2105160 .html (quoting former South African President Nelson Mandela) (internal quotation marks omitted), *archived at* http://perma.cc/DS4X-8SQ9 (last updated Jan. 13, 2013, 5:12 AM).

^{2.} JANE JACOBS, THE DEATH AND LIFE OF GREAT AMERICAN CITIES 410 (1961) (commenting on the general planning process and quoting an unnamed person).

^{3.} See, e.g., Edgar S. & Jean C. Cahn, The War on Poverty: A Civilian Perspective, 73 YALE L.J. 1317, 1317 (1964).

^{4.} THE AMERICAN HERITAGE COLLEGE DICTIONARY 1545 (4th ed. 2010).

^{5.} Cahn, *supra* note 3, at 1317–18.

Metropolitan Poverty Quiz

- 1. True or False. Nearly 16.5 million people live in poverty in the suburb[s], in comparison with about 13 million poor people in American cities.⁶
- 2. True or False. More than 50 million Americans, or 1 in 6, are food insecure (do not have reliable sources of affordable and nutritious food).⁷
- 3. True or False. The pace of suburban poverty growth is more than twice as fast as the poverty rate in urban areas.⁸
- 4. True or False. The lowest paying jobs are in urban areas.⁹
- 5. True or False. It is easier to find affordable housing in urban areas than in the suburbs.¹⁰

How did you do? What was the most surprising fact that you learned? Were you surprised to learn that there are more people living in poverty in the suburbs than our urban cores? This surge is becoming a defining characteristic of our metropolitan regions as poverty stretches from its traditional home in central cities to their surrounding suburbs — effectively expanding the battlegrounds of the War on Poverty.¹¹

^{6.} True. Suburban Poverty Rate Increasing Rapidly in US: Report, PRESS TV (May 21, 2013, 2:10 AM), http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2013/05/21/304565/suburban-poverty-soaring-in-america/, archived at http://perma.cc/8E3W-TNYT.

^{7.} True. See Speakers Bureau Housing and Poverty Stats 2014, http://jim.reutler.org/hab itat/updates/speakers-bureau-housing-and.pdf (last visited Mar. 19, 2014), archived at http://pe rma.cc/93ND-758E (citing ALISHA COLEMAN-JENSEN, MARK NORD & ANITA SINGH, U.S. DEP'T AGRIC., HOUSEHOLD FOOD SECURITY IN THE UNITED STATES IN 2012, at 8 (2012), available at http://www.ers.usda.gov/media/1183208/err-155.pdf, archived at http://perma.cc/G HQ9-EQAR).

^{8.} True. Suburban Poverty Rate Increasing Rapidly in US: Report, supra note 6.

^{9.} False. *Id.* ("The lowest paying jobs are the most suburbanized,' [Kneebone] said. 'Retail services, constructions jobs, manufacturing even."").

^{10.} Neither. This is sort of a trick question. Affordable quality rental housing is increasingly difficult to find in both urban and suburban areas. The rental market in the suburbs, however, is steadily increasing. Today, 40% of all renters reside in the suburbs. *See* Barbara Ray, *The Squeeze is on for Affordable Rentals in the Suburbs*, CONFRONTING SUBURBAN POVERTY AM. (Feb. 20, 2014), http://confrontingsuburbanpoverty.org/2014/02/th e-squeeze-is-on-for-affordable-rentals-in-the-suburbs/, *archived at* http://perma.cc/J8JW-KV7Q.

^{11.} See Suburban Poverty Rate Increasing Rapidly in US: Report, supra note 6.

More recently, the economic devastation wrought by the Great Recession¹² has forever altered the character of America's suburbs. The expansion of poverty from the central cities throughout metropolitan regions is a phenomenon that brings new dimensions to the character of American poverty.¹³

This Article is structured around two symbiotic principles: (1) poverty is a condition that affects all regional constituents, and (2) poverty alleviation benefits all regional constituents. It examines the expanse of regional poverty and regional inequities through lenses of local and regional governance theories. While poverty alleviation is largely funded by federal and state dollars, it is local government that bears the majority of the responsibility for the execution of poverty alleviation programs.¹⁴ While the expansion of poverty creates undeniable hardship, it also creates new opportunities for thinking about regional equity¹⁵ and to best how govern our regional spaces for the benefit of all residents of the region, including the poor, middle To date, governance theories have proven class, and affluent. inadequate and unequal to this task. As efforts to cope with poverty are now firmly entrenched in the suburbs,¹⁶ an opportunity to think about how to operationalize regional governance theories should be considered.

^{12.} The Great Recession started in December 2007 and ended in June 2009. See Press Release, Bus. Cycle Dating Comm., Nat'l Bureau of Econ. Research (Sept. 20, 2010), available at http://www.nber.org/cycles/sept2010.pdf, archived at http://perma.cc/K8ZN-M95Y. It is "the longest recession of the post-World War II era." Neil Irwin & Nia-Malika Henderson, Recession is Officially Over, but Anxiety Lingers, WASH. POST, Sept. 21, 2010, at A1.

^{13.} Increased rates of poverty resulting from the economic crisis are not heavily disputed; however, there are some who dispute the notion of a "new suburban poverty" based upon the classification of suburb versus smaller central city. See Joe Kriesberg, More Suburban Poor? Think Again, ROOFLINES: SHELTERFORCE BLOG (June 7, 2013), http://www.rooflines.org/3252/more_suburban_poor_think_again/, archived at http://perma.cc/ 32PY-953K (arguing that the expansion of suburban poverty depends upon the definition of "suburb" and that what some researchers have defined as "suburban" are actually small central cities).

^{14.} See Liz Farmer, Can Cities and Suburbs Work Together?, GOVERNING (Mar. 13, 2014), http://www.governing.com/news/headlines/Can-Cities-and-Their-Suburbs-Work-Toget her-.html, archived at http://perma.cc/UV3E-XBTB.

^{15.} See infra notes 37–42 and accompanying text.

^{16.} Alan Berube, Senior Fellow and Deputy Dir., Metro. Policy Program, The State of Metropolitan America: Suburbs and the 2010 Census (July 14, 2011), available at http://www.b rookings.edu/research/speeches/2011/07/14-census-suburbs-berube, archived at http://perma.c c/VCX8-P2MX.

Today's metropolitan regions are comprised of both the urban and the suburban. While urban central cities may have larger populations, it does not follow that urban central cities dominate regional spaces as they used to in the 1950s and 1960s.¹⁷ Instead, the character of a metropolitan region is determined by the various localities within it. For example, metropolitan regions such as Chicago and San Francisco house hundreds of municipalities.¹⁸

The modern regional governance debate is centered on the question of whether metropolitan regions¹⁹ are better managed through the individualized efforts of local governments or through cooperative regional governance approaches.²⁰ Metropolitan regions are home to a diverse range of regional constituent groups, each with its own respective interests. In the parlance of the current regional governance discourse, there are two broadly defined categories of regional constituent groups: regionalists and localists.²¹ Regionalists advocate for mechanisms of regional governance to manage the maintenance and development of regional spaces.²² Localists, on the other hand, champion the autonomy of local governments as the appropriate form of regional management.²³ While these two groups are at the opposite ends of the regional governance discourse, there is a third voice in the discourse: new regionalism. New regionalists advocate measures to eliminate the causes of regional inequities, encourage more efficient fiscal cooperation between local governments in the same metropolitan region, and seek to identify new resources for cooperative land use planning.²⁴ The new regionalist movement, however, is a stalled movement because, until now, it has existed as a set of ideals without a framework for effectuating those ideals. This Article considers a new strategy-regional interest convergence-as a new social justice strategy to effectuate new regionalism and revive the movement.

^{17.} See BRUCE KATZ & JENNIFER BRADLEY, THE METROPOLITAN REVOLUTION: HOW CITIES AND METROS ARE FIXING OUR BROKEN POLITICS AND FRAGILE ECONOMY 47 (2013).

^{18.} See id. at 2.

^{19.} See infra notes 119–21 and accompanying text.

^{20.} See infra Part II.C.

^{21.} Matthew J. Parlow, *Equitable Fiscal Regionalism*, 85 TEMP. L. REV. 49, 51–52 (2012).

^{22.} Id. at 62-63.

^{23.} Id. at 53.

^{24.} Myron Orfield, *The Region and Taxation: School Finance, Cities, and the Hope for Regional Reform*, 55 BUFF. L. REV. 91, 92 (2007).

Regional interest convergence²⁵ is a reconceptualization of the interest convergence theory first articulated by Professor Derrick Bell.²⁶ Broadly stated, interest convergence theory explains that where parties in unequal positions of power have divergent interests, to advance its goals, the subordinate party must find commonality between its interests and the interests of the majority party.²⁷ The application of the interest convergence theory to regional governance is a novel yet logical next step for constructing mechanisms to align the interests of residents of regional communities and address poverty throughout metropolitan communities. To date, however, Bell's theory has been largely applied in litigation strategies.²⁸

Regional interest convergence has the potential to be a comprehensive anti-poverty mechanism designed specifically to alleviate regional inequity. It operates at the intersection of regional planning, local government law, economic development policy, negotiation, and community organizing, and provides the structure to create a blueprint for identifying metropolitan regional constituent groups and their interests to determine points of convergence among these disparate interests to achieve regional equity. The Article proceeds as follows: Part II documents the growth and development of America's metropolitan regions and regional inequities to demonstrate the urgent need for regional interest convergence. Highlighting the current suburban poverty crisis and the shrinking middle class, Part II also situates this discussion in the modern regional governance conversation by exploring the successes and failures of the predominant governance theories overlaying metropolitan regions: localism, regionalism, and new regionalism. Part III reconceptualizes the interest convergence theory to apply it in the regional governance context and presents the interest

^{25.} While new regionalism exists without a succinct definition, there have been several superb articles exploring new regionalism's impact on regional equity. See, e.g., Lisa T. Alexander, The Promise and Perils of "New Regionalist" Approaches to Sustainable Communities, 38 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 629 (2011); Parlow, supra note 21, at 64-67; Christopher J. Tyson, Localism and Involuntary Annexation: Reconsidering Approaches to New Regionalism, 87 TUL. L. REV. 297 (2012).

^{26.} Sheryll D. Cashin, Shall We Overcome? Transcending Race, Class, and Ideology Through Interest Convergence, 79 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 253, 254 (2005).

^{27.} See Derrick A. Bell, Jr., Brown v. Board of Education and the Interest-Convergence Dilemma, 93 HARV. L. REV. 518, 523-24 (1980) (arguing that interest convergence would not occur if the status quo of the dominant party would be negatively impacted).

^{28.} See Cynthia Lee, Cultural Convergence: Interest Convergence Theory Meets the Cultural Defense, 49 ARIZ. L. REV. 911, 925 (2007).

convergence methodology. Part IV sketches a framework for the implementation of regional interest convergence as a new regionalist strategy for achieving regional equity and navigates and explores practical opportunities for regional interest convergence.

II. THE CAUSES AND PERSISTENCE OF REGIONAL INEQUITY

Regions are becoming increasingly important in the American landscape.²⁹ Unlike states and cities, regions are not formal legal structures formed by government action. Instead, regions are formed through engaged networks of commerce and custom and are becoming increasingly important cultural and political actors.³⁰ Regions are complex mosaics of culture, economics, and geography, with dual identities as "regional spaces" and "spaces of regionalism." Stated differently, a region is both an economic unit with an objective nature and defined geographical boundaries and a "created territory" formed for "political mobilization and ... cultural expression."³¹ There are close to 400 metropolitan regions in the United States,³² and these regions are increasing in national and international prominence as economic actors.³³ Metropolitan regions house the overwhelming majority of the country's residents. Almost two-thirds of the American population lives in the 100 largest metropolitan regions,³⁴ which is only 12% of the country's land mass.³⁵ Moreover, 85% of the nation's immigrants and 77% of the nation's minority population live in metropolitan regions.³⁶

^{29.} See, e.g., Judith Rodin, Foreword to KATZ & BRADLEY, supra note 17, at vii, vii.

^{30.} See id. at vii–viii.

^{31.} See MANUEL PASTOR JR., CHRIS BENNER & MARTHA MATSUOKA, THIS COULD BE THE START OF SOMETHING BIG: HOW SOCIAL MOVEMENTS FOR REGIONAL EQUITY ARE RESHAPING METROPOLITAN AMERICA 53 (2009) (citing Martin Jones & Gordon MacLeod, Regional Spaces, Spaces of Regionalism: Territory, Insurgent Politics and the English Question, 29 TRANSACTIONS INST. BRIT. GEOGRAPHERS 433 (2004)).

^{32.} MYRON ORFIELD, AMERICAN METROPOLITICS: THE NEW SUBURBAN REALITY 1 (2002); OFFICE OF MGMT. AND BUDGET, EXEC. OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, OMB BULLETIN NO. 13-01, at 22 (2013), *available at* http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/o mb/bulletins/2013/b-13-01.pdf, *archived at* http://perma.cc/B88-APGN.

^{33.} E.g., Rodin, supra note 29, at vii, 2.

^{34.} *Id.* at 1; *see also* ELIZABETH KNEEBONE, METRO. POLICY PROGRAM AT BROOKINGS, THE GREAT RECESSION AND POVERTY IN METROPOLITAN AMERICAN (2010).

^{35.} KATZ & BRADLEY, *supra* note 17, at 1.

^{36.} See Metro. Policy Program at Brookings, MetroPolicy: Shaping a New Federal Partnership for a Metropolitan Nation 4 (2008).

There are distinctions between regions, generally, and metropolitan regions, specifically. The Census Bureau defines metropolitan regions as having an urban core central city with a population that exceeds 50,000 people and several adjacent, lesser populated suburban localities that are highly socially and economically integrated with the core.³⁷ It is important to understand the common history behind the development of these spaces to identify the root causes of regional inequity, better address its current iterations, and maximize the economic and political potential of regional spaces.

Regional inequity encompasses the range of social and economic disparities between residents in a metropolitan region. It speaks to power imbalances, unequal access to public resources, and wealth gaps between localities within the same metropolitan region. Examples include disparities between unemployment rates, the availability of affordable housing, the recruitment of commercial retail, and the funding of public schools.³⁸ Its causes are multifaceted and complex, simultaneously reflecting public policy, personal prejudices and choice, and the operation of law.³⁹ Regional inequities surfaced concomitant with the initial development of metropolitan regions-beginning with the incorporation of the first suburbs,⁴⁰ which were formed to be an escape from urban America and poverty.⁴¹ There is, in fact, a direct link between the origins of the first suburbs and the current expanse of suburban poverty that is one of the legacies of the Great Recession.⁴²

^{37.} Metropolitan regions are formally distinguished from nonmetropolitan regions by their higher populations and informally by the diversity of their populations. Neither metropolitan regions nor nonmetropolitan regions are confined to state boundaries. For example, the New York Metropolitan Statistical Area (commonly referred to as a tri-state area) is comprised of counties from the states of New York, Connecticut, and New Jersey. See OFFICE OF MGMT. AND BUDGET, supra note 32, at 2. The proposals presented in the article are applicable to both metropolitan and nonmetropolitan regions; however, this Article is focused on the story of metropolitan regional development. It goes without saying that nonmetropolitan regions have their own unique challenges that warrant study.

^{38.} See generally DAVID RUSK, INSIDE GAME/OUTSIDE GAME: WINNING STRATEGIES FOR SAVING URBAN AMERICA (1999).

^{39.} See id. at 316-35.

^{40.} See, e.g., Georgette C. Poindexter, Towards a Legal Framework for Regional Redistribution of Poverty-Related Expenses, 47 WASH. U. J. URB. & CONTEMP. L. 3, 6, 10 (1995).

^{41.} ELIZABETH KNEEBONE & ALAN BERUBE, CONFRONTING SUBURBAN POVERTY IN AMERICA 6-7 (2013).

^{42.} Id. at 35.

The persistence of regional inequity is directly related to (1) the nature of the social and political systems that created the suburbs,⁴³ (2) the intransigent nature of regional inequity as evidenced by the ongoing expansion of suburban poverty,⁴⁴ and (3) governance failures in metropolitan regions.⁴⁵ Each of these three factors is discussed below.

A. The Simultaneous Emergence of Metropolitan Regions and Regional Inequity

A significant reason for the persistence of regional inequity is the way in which metropolitan regions developed-specifically, the formation of America's suburban communities. Before there were metropolitan regions, the American landscape consisted of urban cities and rural communities. The earliest cities thrived for generations as urban metropolises surrounded by lesser populated rural spaces.⁴⁶ City populations steadily increased as rural residents and immigrants were enticed by employment opportunities.⁴⁷ However, the complexion and class of the incoming residents alarmed much of the existing populace.⁴⁸ Class, racial, and ethnic tensions began to grow.⁴⁹ These tensions eased temporarily during World War I, intensified during the Great Depression, and eased again during World War II.⁵⁰ After World War II, however, city populations grew exponentially.⁵¹ In addition to rural residents and immigrants, African American agricultural workers from the reconstructing South began relocating to northern cities to find work in industrial, manufacturing, and service positions.⁵² The old tensions intensified but were deflected by the federal government's new home ownership programs, which provided a way out of the central city for

^{43.} See infra Part II.A.

^{44.} *See infra* Part II.B.

^{45.} *See infra* Part II.C.

^{46.} See G. Ross Stephens & Nelson Wikstrom, Metropolitan Government and Governance: Theoretical Perspectives, Empirical Analysis, and the Future 14–15 (2000).

^{47.} DOUGLAS S. MASSEY & NANCY A. DENTON, AMERICAN APARTHEID: SEGREGATION AND THE MAKING OF THE UNDERCLASS 26–27 (1993).

^{48.} *Id.* at 29.

^{49.} *Id.* at 29–30.

^{50.} See id. at 30–31.

^{51.} See id. at 27–28, 43.

^{52.} See id. at 26–29; see also Thomas J. Sugrue, The Origins of the Urban Crisis: RACE and Inequality in Postwar Detroit (1996).

middle-class Americans.⁵³ These home ownership mortgage programs were not for homes in the central cities but for newly constructed homes in the emerging surrounding suburban communities—creating a new type of space that was neither urban nor rural but suburban.⁵⁴

Throughout the 1950s and 1960s, federal policies supported the development of suburban communities and facilitated racial and economic segregation between central cities and suburbs. African Americans were denied access to federal home ownership programs and loan guarantees, which meant that they could not purchase homes and did not benefit from the mortgage interest deduction on their personal income taxes.⁵⁵ Furthermore, the federal government financed highway construction to ease suburban entry into and exit out of the central city.⁵⁶ At the same time, it financed urban renewal projects that displaced large numbers of African American central-city residents from neighborhood communities into public housing and concentrated poverty.⁵⁷

Marketed as the American dream, suburbs developed as communities of detached single-family homes from which residents drove to central cities for work. Central-city residents, however, were confined to the city for employment because auto loans were just as difficult to obtain as home mortgages and, unlike highways, public transportation did not connect the central city to the suburbs.⁵⁸ As the beneficiaries of the federal home loan programs, white middle-class residents exited central cities en masse, engendering the "white flight" phenomenon.⁵⁹ Business and industry also abandoned the central cities to provide services for the wealthier suburban residents – decimating central-city tax bases.⁶⁰ To compensate for the divestment of white flight, cities sought to salvage their tax bases by annexing some of the

- 58. *See* KNEEBONE & BERUBE, *supra* note 41, at 7–8.
- 59. MASSEY & DENTON, *supra* note 47, at 52–55.
- 60. See id. at 55–56, 136, 156.

^{53.} See id. at 52-53.

^{54.} See id. at 53.

^{55.} *See id.* at 53–54.

^{56.} Id. at 44.

^{57.} *Id.* at 55–56. *See generally* MINDY THOMPSON FULLILOVE, ROOT SHOCK: HOW TEARING UP CITY NEIGHBORHOODS HURTS AMERICA, AND WHAT WE CAN DO ABOUT IT (2004) (describing the impact and physiological harm of urban renewal on central-city residents).

newer surrounding communities outside of their boundaries.⁶¹ Early annexations were successful; however, suburban communities petitioned their state governments to require both central-city and suburban voter approval of any proposed annexation—effectively ending that practice.⁶² The suburbs now existed as autonomous local governments with the same authority as central cities to tax, provide services, and regulate land use.⁶³

The suburbs were initially limited to the white middle class and elites,⁶⁴ and stark disparities between central cities and the surrounding suburbs emerged soon after their creation. From the east coast to the west coast, the ascent of the suburbs propelled the decline of central cities, and cities experienced an unobstructed deterioration during the 1980s and 1990s.⁶⁵ This deterioration was supported by suburban land use practices and legislation, such as exclusionary zoning ordinances that appear facially neutral but have the practical effect of excluding low-and moderate-income residents.⁶⁶ These policies and practices concentrated poverty and trapped central-city residents, consequently creating a high demand for (and parallel lack of) affordable housing in central cities while increasing the value of suburban land.⁶⁷

As affordable and fair housing advocates and community groups challenged discriminatory housing practices, their efforts led to the construction of affordable housing in certain suburbs.⁶⁸ These suburbs became more socially and economically diverse as residents moved from the central city. As a result of the influx of mixed incomes, the housing stock in the suburbs also diversified.⁶⁹ Single-family homes were still the norm, but multi-family housing and apartment buildings were also

^{61.} See Judith Welch Wegner, North Carolina's Annexation Wars: Whys, Wherefores, and What Next, 91 N.C. L. REV. 165, 168 (2012).

^{62.} See, e.g., id. at 226. See generally Tyson, supra note 25.

^{63.} See, e.g., Wegner, supra note 61, at 183-85.

^{64.} *See* RUSK, *supra* note 38, at 1–15. *See generally* MASSEY & DENTON, *supra* note 47, at 52–55, 44–45.

^{65.} Poindexter, *supra* note 40, at 10.

^{66.} *Id.* at 12–13.

^{67.} See id. at 14–15.

^{68.} See, e.g., Harold A. McDougall, Regional Contribution Agreements: Compensation for Exclusionary Zoning, 60 TEMP. L. Q. 665, 674–80 (1987). The construction of affordable housing in the suburbs has not been without controversy, as evidenced by the ongoing challenges in Mount Laurel, New Jersey. See, e.g., David D. Troutt, Katrina's Window: Localism, Resegregation, and Equitable Regionalism, 55 BUFF. L. REV. 1109, 1179 (2008).

^{69.} See, e.g., RUSK, supra note 38, at 168–69.

constructed.⁷⁰ Through formal litigation and an informal shift in cultural norms, the suburbs became less exclusive and more economically and ethnically diverse.⁷¹ This change in complexion, combined with the 1990s' strong economy and housing boom, prompted many affluent residents to move deeper into regions and further from central cities to populate new suburban communities called "exurb[s]"⁷²-designating the first suburbs adjacent to the central cities the "inner-ring or first-tier suburbs."⁷³ Now there were three categories of space in regional spaces: central cities, inner-ring suburbs, and exurbs.

In many respects today's suburbs exhibit a very different character from what was prevalent when the suburbs were first formed. In fact, the boundaries between many central cities and their inner-ring suburbs are now blurred for a number of reasons, including the fact that poverty is now a suburban reality.⁷⁴ For example, the exodus to the exurbs resulted in disinvestment in the inner-ring suburbs similar to that experienced by the central cities decades earlier.⁷⁵ For any given locality, this disinvestment led to lower property value assessments, which, in turn, decreased the volume of public services that locality could provide.⁷⁶ While fair share affordable housing laws⁷⁷ and laws prohibiting exclusionary zoning⁷⁸ made leaving the central city a viable option for central-city residents, the subprime mortgage crises undermined that goal.⁷⁹ Another layer of social and economic diversity comes from the fact that the suburbs are also now the destination for newly arrived immigrants seeking to be near family who, in years

^{70.} See, e.g., id.

^{71.} McDougall, supra note 68, at 674-80.

^{72.} Exurbs are generally considered low-density communities that extend beyond suburban communities and house affluent residents. See ENCYCLOPEDIA OF HUMAN GEOGRAPHY 149 (Barney Warf ed., 2006); Wegner, supra note 61, at 257 n.414.

^{73.} Bernadette Hanlon, A Typology of Inner-Ring Suburbs: Class, Race, and Ethnicity in U.S. Suburbia, 8 CITY & COMMUNITY 221, 225 (2009).

^{74.} Berube, supra note 16.

^{75.} See Hanlon, supra note 73, at 235.

^{76.} See, e.g., Poindexter, supra note 40, at 17-22.

^{77.} See, e.g., id. at 40–43.

^{78.} See id. at 35–43 (discussing the trio of fair housing cases from Mt. Laurel, New Jersey).

^{79.} See Cassandra Jones Havard, "Goin' Round in Circles" ... and Letting the Bad Loans Win: When Subprime Lending Fails Borrowers: The Need for Uniform Broker Regulation, 86 NEB. L. REV. 737 (2008); Audrey G. McFarlane, The Properties of Instability: Markets, Predation, Racialized Geography, and Property Law, 2011 WIS. L. REV. 855.

before, would have otherwise resided in the central city.⁸⁰ The combined effect of these demographic and cultural shifts increased suburban population growth and improved social and economic integration.⁸¹

As explained in the next section, instead of the idyllic lifestyle promised in advertising for suburban communities, these communities are experiencing many of the same poverty-based challenges traditionally encountered by low-income residents in central-city neighborhoods.⁸² There is a persistent tension that dominates the relationships between central cities and suburbs in metropolitan regions, and our metropolitan regions are places that house extreme social and economic disparities.⁸³ These disparities, however, are no longer limited to inequities between central cities and suburbs. In an extreme demonstration of irony, many once-idyllic suburbs are experiencing rates of poverty previously only familiar to residents of central cities.⁸⁴

B. Expanding Regional Inequity: Suburban Poverty

Social scientists and social service providers have sounded the alarm about the tightening grip of poverty in many suburban communities. As discussed above, suburban communities were designed to be middleclass enclaves, leaving poverty to the realm of the central cities.⁸⁵ As a result of the housing crisis and Great Recession, the expansion of suburban poverty has spread to areas poorly equipped to handle the need.⁸⁶ Lacking established supportive social services, the experience of poverty in the suburbs does not mirror poverty in central cities because there is no infrastructure for poverty alleviation programs.⁸⁷ On one

- 82. See infra Part II.A.
- 83. See Farmer, supra note 14.

^{80.} Historically, immigrants settled in central cities to join families and existing social networks. Carol Morello & Luz Lazo, *Baltimore's Hope: Immigrants*, WASH. POST, July 25, 2012, at A1, *available* at 2012 WLNR 15661334. However, immigrants have also been able to participate in the trek outside of the central cities, and new immigrant relatives join them in the suburbs instead. *See, e.g.*, KNEEBONE & BERUBE, *supra* note 41, at 46; Jill H. Wilson & Nicole Prchal Svajlenka, *Immigrants Continue to Disperse, with Fastest Growth in the Suburbs*, BROOKINGS (Oct. 29, 2014), http://www.brookings.edu/research/papers/2014/10/29-immigrants-disperse-suburbs-wilson-svajlenka, *archived at* http://perma.cc/4CV5-WPVZ.

^{81.} KNEEBONE & BERUBE, *supra* note 41, at 44.

^{84.} See KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41, at 17–20.

^{85.} See supra note 64 and accompanying text.

^{86.} See KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41, at 10, 35.

^{87.} See id. at 10, 88–95.

hand, regional inequity speaks to wealth and resource disparities between central cities and suburban communities. On the other hand, however, the high incidence of suburban poverty should not be interpreted to suggest an easing of disparities between central cities and suburbs. Instead, it means that regional inequities exist between individual suburbs in addition to the historical disparities between central cities and suburbs.

In Confronting Suburban Poverty in America, researchers Elizabeth Kneebone and Alan Berube document the spread of poverty in American suburbs.⁸⁸ According to the authors, while poverty rates remain higher in cities and rural areas than in suburban communities, poverty grew at a faster rate in the suburbs over the course of the last thirty years.⁸⁹ This clearly pre-dates the Great Recession; however, it was the Great Recession and one of its instigators, the housing crash of 2006, that fueled suburban poverty to its current calamitous state.⁹⁰ The most rapid growth took place in the 2000s, with the latter half of the 2000s representing twice as much growth as the first half.⁹¹ The net result is that, today, there are more poor people living in the suburbs than in the central cities.⁹² The authors are careful to explain, however, that the purpose of their research is not to argue that suburban poverty is more egregious or challenging than urban poverty.⁹³ Instead, their goal is to identify the ways in which suburban poverty and urban poverty are distinct - and illustrate the need for new and different antipoverty measures.⁹⁴

The economic crisis hit the poor and economically marginalized the hardest: theirs were the first jobs to disappear, the first rents to rise, and the first homes to be foreclosed.⁹⁵ Suburban poverty's higher growth

93. KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41, at 31-36.

94. Id. at 96-112.

95. Kelly D. Edmiston, The Low-and Moderate-Income Population in Recession and Recovery: Results From a New Survey, 98 FED. RES. BANK KAN. CITY: ECON. REV. 33, 33, 44 (2013), available at http://www.kc.frb.org/publicat/econrev/pdf/13q1Edmiston.pdf, archived at http://perma.cc/H457-XXSC.

^{88.} KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41.

^{89.} See id. at 17-20.

^{90.} Id. at 39-41.

^{91.} See id. at 40-41.

^{92.} Emily Badger, The Suburbanization of Poverty, CITYLAB (May 20, 2013), http://www.citylab.com/work/2013/05/sburbanization-poverty/5633/, archived at http://perma.c c/TU92-UABR (noting that 16.4 million poor people live in the suburbs compared to 13.4 million in the central cities).

rate is directly connected to the breadth of the economic crisis, which generated massive losses of service, construction, and manufacturing jobs throughout the nation.⁹⁶ Suburban communities suffered these job losses acutely.⁹⁷ In addition to the assault against the already poor, the Great Recession propelled significant numbers of middle and higher income families from their respective class brackets into the low and moderate income brackets (LMI)-thereby growing the ranks of the poor.⁹⁸ As a consequence, suburban poverty implicates two distinct populations: (i) poor residents already residing in or moving to suburban communities from the central cities (the "traditional LMI"), and (ii) suburban residents who were middle and higher income suburban families impacted by recession-based underemployment or unemployment (the "non-traditional LMI").⁹⁹ The traditional LMI and the non-traditional LMI experience poverty differently, as detailed below through the exploration of their respective labor markets, employment opportunities, and social networks.

The Great Recession compounded an already-distressed labor market. The traditional LMI had already been experiencing a ten-year period of stunted job growth.¹⁰⁰ Traditional LMI jobs are overwhelmingly low-skill and low-paid occupations.¹⁰¹ As compared to middle and higher incomes, the traditional LMI labor market suffered a

^{96.} See, e.g., KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41, at 38, 42.

^{97.} See also Aaron Wiener, *The Post-Recession Homelessness Epidemic*, NEXT CITY (Jul. 21, 2014), http://nextcity.org/features/view/the-post-recession-homelessness-epidemic, *archived at* http://perma.cc/PU3A-TFRH (citing National Employment Law Project report stating that there are 1 million fewer middle-income jobs than before the Great Recession).

^{98.} See Edmiston, supra note 95, at 33, 35 ("LMI families are defined as those with income below 80 percent of an area's median income, where the area of reference is either the metropolitan area in which a given family lives or, for nonmetropolitan areas, the state as a whole."); see also, e.g., Allison Linn, Sprawling and Struggling: Poverty Hits America's Suburbs, NBC NEWS (Mar. 22, 2013, 3:26 AM), http://www.nbcnews.com/feature/in-plain-sight/sprawling-struggling-poverty-hits-americas-suburbs-v17404578, archived at http://perma. cc/EY8B-FWMT.

^{99.} See KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41, at 1–10; Edmiston, supra note 95, at 33. Factors contributing to the migration of low-income residents from the central cities to the suburbs include affordable housing advocacy, less expensive rental housing as a result of the glut in housing markets that blocked investors from being able to sell newly built homes, the availability of subprime mortgages, and the "return to downtown/the city" movement that is pricing low-income residents out of their homes. See, e.g., id. at 48–54; Theresa Everline, Surviving Suburbia, 27 NEXT AM. CITY 32, 35 (2010).

^{100.} See Edmiston, supra note 95, at 39–40.

^{101.} Id.

steeper decline in employment during the recession.¹⁰² Moreover, because the Great Recession relentlessly assaulted practically all job categories, to the extent any traditional LMI positions become available, they are filled by unemployed but overqualified middle and higher income workers.¹⁰³ Thus, even though much of the country is experiencing at least some sort of anemic economic recovery, practically speaking, the traditional LMI do not know that the Great Recession has ended.

Unlike the traditional LMI, the majority of the non-traditional LMI joined the LMI ranks after the recession officially ended.¹⁰⁴ That labor market also suffered significant losses during the recession.¹⁰⁵ Once unemployed, these workers typically first exhausted their personal savings, 401(k) savings, credit, and other assets before seeking public assistance.¹⁰⁶ The fact that the non-traditional LMI are more likely to fill any new job openings over a traditional LMI candidate provides little relief because these jobs pay lower wages than what successful candidates used to bring home and do not offer health benefits.¹⁰⁷ These facts, compounded by depleted personal savings, significantly reduce any opportunities for the non-traditional LMI to regain their former wealth and ascend out of LMI status-in short, shrinking the middle class.

Authors Kneebone and Berube argue that the experience of suburban poverty is different from that of urban poverty, and that the non-traditional LMI experience poverty differently from the traditional LMI.¹⁰⁸ Regardless of their shared status as suburban residents, both the traditional LMI and the non-traditional LMI have challenges navigating poverty in the suburbs because suburban localities are illequipped to fund and participate in poverty alleviation and other social service programs. The staggering loss of financial aid from state governments coupled with an increase in poorer residents has severely strained the public service budgets of suburban local governmentsparticularly because these entities were not designed to provide a high

^{102.} Id. at 40.

^{103.} See id. at 41.

^{104.} Id. at 52.

^{105.} Id. at 37.

^{106.} Id. at 52.

^{107.} See id. at 43.

^{108.} See KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41, at 31-36.

volume of social services in the first place.¹⁰⁹ Four particularly poignant examples of these limitations are public transportation, public assistance, charitable relief, and social networks.

The suburbs are notoriously known for their lack of efficient public transportation.¹¹⁰ For the traditional LMI, this limitation makes cars a necessity for traveling to work. However, given their limited financial resources, the traditional LMI are forced to use older model cars that come with the related maintenance issues — which means that even the slightest car repair could cause a major financial disruption.¹¹¹ A second limitation is the lack of suburban infrastructure to accommodate rising demands for public assistance, such as food stamps.¹¹² Likewise, because of the historical focus on poverty in urban areas, traditional sources of charitable relief are available, if at all, in extremely limited quantities.¹¹³ Those programs that do operate in suburban areas are struggling to serve an exponentially larger need with significantly reduced dollars.¹¹⁴

The limited suburban infrastructure for social services yields a set of distinct but equally unpleasant outcomes for both the traditional and non-traditional LMI that are directly impacted by the strength of their social networks. Caring for one's child can be grounds for termination, and a move across town can destroy all existing social networks that might be able to provide relief to alleviate any of the other scenarios.¹¹⁵ In contrast to the social networks used by the traditional LMI to navigate their circumstances,¹¹⁶ suburban poverty can be an isolating

^{109.} See Everline, supra note 99, at 34–37.

^{110.} See KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41, at 60–62.

^{111.} Id. at 60.

^{112.} Id. at 62–66, 91; see also Mike Maciag, Food Stamp Enrollment Still Climbing in Many States, GOVERNING (July 30, 2013), http://www.governing.com/blogs/by-the-numbers/f ood-stamp-snap-enrollment-rates-climb-in-states.html, archived at http://perma.cc/L7FL-HPEN ("The number of Americans relying on federal assistance to put food on the table ballooned to record levels during the recession—more than doubling from just 10 years ago.").

^{113.} See KNEEBONE & BERUBE, supra note 41, at 62–66, 91–95. As we consider new approaches to poverty alleviation in all communities, it is important to track the impact that the racialization of poverty has had on both individual and institutional thinking. Charitable foundations need to re-examine their funding patterns to ensure that they are meeting the most urgent need and not falling victim to past patterns based on historical perceptions of poverty. See, e.g., id.

^{114.} Id. at 93-94.

^{115.} See FULLILOVE, supra note 57, at 229.

^{116.} See id.

experience for non-traditional LMI because of their unfamiliarity with its challenges.

The recovery period for all LMI families (both traditional and nontraditional) is the slowest among the economic recoveries occurring across the country-further cementing regional inequities.¹¹⁷ These challenges are occurring throughout our metropolitan regions without any comprehensive state or local government response designed to meet metropolitan regional needs.¹¹⁸ This is because, as explained in the following section, regional governance in both theory and application has failed to engage regional inequities.

C. Governance Failings Perpetuate Regional Inequities

As the realm of both the urban and suburban, metropolitan regions have long been the subject of academic conversations concerning regional governance.¹¹⁹ The expansion of suburban poverty combined with ongoing urban poverty brings new relevance and a sense of timeliness to the regional governance conversation, which is centered on the question of whether metropolitan regions are better managed though the individualized efforts of local governments within a given metropolitan region or by a voluntary cooperative approach shared among local governments within the same metropolitan region.¹²⁰ The former approach is called localism, the latter called regionalism.¹²¹

Entrenched as the predominant governing philosophy in America since the incorporation of the first suburbs, localism defines local government law and practice.¹²² Localism describes the autonomous operation of local governments independently from and irrespective of each other.¹²³ Regionalism, in contrast, promotes the formation of formal government entities to manage metropolitan regional development and to guide fiscal relationships between localities.¹²⁴ With very few exceptions, regionalism has always been more idea than implementable plan.¹²⁵ This malleability has led scholars and

2014]

^{117.} See Edmiston, supra note 95, at 38.

^{118.} KNEEBONE & BERUBE, *supra* note 41, at 91.

^{119.} See, e.g., Richard Briffault, Localism and Regionalism, 48 BUFF. L. REV. 1 (2000).

^{120.} See id. at 1.

^{121.} Id.

^{122.} See Parlow, supra note 21, at 53-55.

^{123.} Id. at 53.

^{124.} See id. at 62-63.

^{125.} See id. at 62, 71-77.

practitioners from various disciplines to craft a range of regional governance proposals.¹²⁶ As notions of regionalism evolved, a new branch of regionalism developed, appropriately called new regionalism. Unlike old regionalism, new regionalism seeks to identify new methods for cooperative land use planning between local governments while advocating for measures to eliminate the causes of regional inequities and encourage more efficient fiscal cooperation between local governments in the same metropolitan region.¹²⁷ As demonstrated below, however, as a movement, new regionalism is stunted because it exists as a set of ideals without a framework for effectuating those ideals.

There is a deep wealth of well-developed scholarship detailing local government law and regional governance theories;¹²⁸ this Article uses the most salient of those points to advance the new regionalism conversation. What follows next are descriptions of the theoretical underpinnings of each of the governance philosophies—localism,¹²⁹ regionalism,¹³⁰ and new regionalism¹³¹— accompanied by critiques that demonstrate how each philosophy contributes to regional inequity and falls far short of meeting the needs of all regional constituents.

1. The Dimensions of Localism

On its face, localism is a seemingly neutral "descriptive and normative [governance] theory based on a preference for a system of decentralized, independent local governments and local control."¹³² Creations of their states, local governments "are subject to control by both their states and local constituents"¹³³ and operate in a range of forms, including cities, counties, townships, and parishes.¹³⁴ Our 50 states are home to approximately 90,000 local governments with varying

^{126.} *See infra* Part II.C.2–3.

^{127.} Orfield, *supra* note 24, at 92; *see also infra* Part II.C.3 (discussing limitations of current attempts to regional fiscal cooperation).

^{128.} See, e.g., Michelle Wilde Anderson, Mapped Out of Local Democracy, 62 STAN. L. REV. 931 (2010); Briffault, *supra* note 119; Gerald Frug, Against Centralization, 48 BUFF. L. REV. 31 (2000).

^{129.} See infra Part II.C.1.

^{130.} See infra Part II.C.2.

^{131.} See infra Part II.C.3.

^{132.} Parlow, supra note 21, at 53.

^{133.} See Richard Briffault & Laurie Reynolds, Cases and Materials on State and Local Government Law 8 (6th ed. 2004).

^{134.} See id. at 8-10.

levels of authority.¹³⁵ Each of these units is created, authorized, and expected to act in isolation from its neighboring localities.¹³⁶ Localists assert that the decentralized proliferation of our local governments encourages (i) freedom of consumer choice, (ii) government efficiency and innovation, and (iii) the preservation of the democratic process.¹³⁷ As explained below, however, this "fragmented" approach to governing metropolitan regions is not neutral but actually works counter to regional equity.138

Arguments supporting localism's efficiency fall into two categories: freedom of consumer choice and government efficiency.¹³⁹ The notion of freedom of consumer choice is one of the earliest articulations of support for localism and is credited to the work of Professor Charles Tiebout.¹⁴⁰ Professor Tiebout asserted that local government fragmentation is ideal for "consumer-voters" who can choose in which localities to live based on an assortment of available public services, tax rates, and regulations.¹⁴¹ Accordingly, the desire to recruit affluent residents and the constant threat of resident exodus keeps localities competitive and operating at optimal levels of effectiveness because unhappy residents can (in theory) always move to another locality.¹⁴² Thus, localism serves as a constant "check" on the efficiency of local government activities.143

The argument for government efficiency asserts that local government operations are superior to those of the federal and state

^{135.} PEW CHARITABLE TRUSTS, THE LOCAL SQUEEZE: FALLING REVENUES AND GROWING DEMAND FOR SERVICES CHALLENGE CITIES, COUNTIES, AND SCHOOL DISTRICTS 5 (2012). As these numbers suggest, local governments substantially affect the daily lives of their residents while also being significant economic and political actors at state and federal levels of government. Advocacy organizations such as the National League of Cities and the United Conference of Mayors provide information about the potential social and economic impact of cities. See About NLC, NAT'L LEAGUE CITIES, http://www.nlc.org/a bout-nlc (last visited Dec. 31, 2014), archived at http://perma.cc/XBP6-64Q4; Organization Overview, U.S. CONF. MAYORS, http://usmayors.org/about/overview.asp (last visited Dec. 31, 2014), archived at http://perma.cc/7WJT-NXCD.

^{136.} See PEW CHARITABLE TRUSTS, supra note 135, at 5.

^{137.} Briffault, supra note 119, at 15–17; Parlow, supra note 21, at 55–58.

^{138.} See infra notes 153-60 and accompanying text.

^{139.} See Briffault, supra note 119, at 15.

^{140.} Id. (explaining Tiebout's model in Charles M. Tiebout, A Pure Theory of Local Expenditures, 64 J. POL. ECON. 416 (1956)).

^{141.} Briffault, supra note 119, at 15 (quoting Tiebout, supra note 140, at 417).

^{142.} See id. at 15–16.

^{143.} Id. at 16; Tiebout, supra note 140, at 422.

governments.¹⁴⁴ This superiority stems from the comparatively smaller size of local governments and their accessibility to residents. Specifically, the argument asserts that local governments are better suited to make localized policy decisions that can be specifically tailored to local preferences and are not overly inclusive of large numbers of unaffected or contrary interests.¹⁴⁵ Additionally, because local government operations are, by definition, smaller than those at the federal and state levels, local governments are smaller bureaucracies that are, in theory, much easier for residents to navigate – thus keeping residents from exiting.

In addition to government efficiency, local governments are routinely promoted as being more innovative than their state and federal counterparts.¹⁴⁶ The argument asserts that local governments are more capable of fostering innovation with respect to policy-making, small business support and development, and the creative industries because their smaller size, comparatively speaking, makes it easier for the general public to navigate.¹⁴⁷

Lastly, the value of preserving the democratic process is framed in the language of increased public participation and civic engagement by local voters. Supporters of localism assert that it provides residents with enhanced opportunities for public discourse and localized decision making because voters are voting on decisions that impact their day-today lives.¹⁴⁸ Similar to the arguments about government efficiency, the smaller size of a local government is thought to enhance the daily lives of its residents.¹⁴⁹

While the attributes of localism discussed above may explain its endurance, these attributes also coalesce to foster an enduring exclusivity with respect to which metropolitan residents receive their benefit. In other words, as outlined below, localism does not promote

^{144.} See Briffault, supra note 119, at 15.

^{145.} See id.

^{146.} See, e.g., Parlow, supra note 21, at 53.

^{147.} See id. at 55–56 (providing health care, gay marriage, domestic partner benefits, climate change, immigration, minimum wage, and medical marijuana examples); Neil Westergaard, *Denver Rises in Small Business Rankings*, DENVER BUS. J. (Feb. 7, 2013, 2:50 PM), http://www.bizjournals.com/denver/blog/broadway_17th/2013/02/Denver-rises-in-small-business-rankings.html?s=print (last updated Feb. 8, 2013, 10:14 AM), archived at http://perm a.cc/46AJ-S7TB.

^{148.} *But see* Bill Keller, Op-Ed, *States Gone Wild*, N.Y. TIMES, Mar. 25, 2013, at A23 (noting who actually votes in local elections).

^{149.} See, e.g., Parlow, supra note 21, at 55.

regional equity but in fact really only works for middle- and upper-class localities — the "favored quarter."¹⁵⁰ Favored quarter is the term used to describe those suburban communities that represent only a quarter of a regional population but experience the greatest amount of economic prosperity due to imbalances such as being able to use local control to avoid taking on regional social service burdens.¹⁵¹ A favored quarter is a regional space that meets the following three conditions:

(1) it captures the largest or a disproportionate share of public infrastructure investments in the region; (2) it has the region's largest tax base and is the area of highest job growth; and (3) it retains local powers, which it uses in a manner that closes its housing markets to non-affluent regional workers, thus becoming "both socially and politically isolated from regional responsibilities."¹⁵²

This systematic regional imbalance is what localism protects and preserves.

At the most fundamental level, local governments are service providers tasked with providing traditional public services, such as police and fire departments, which are essential to residential quality of life. Localism has historically served both its affluent and middle-class constituents well by providing these services in the form of funding good schools, obtaining federal and state funding for public infrastructure, recruiting commercial retail development, and offering sizeable lots for single-family housing while restricting the construction of multi-family housing.¹⁵³ Because the suburbs were designed to "create an exclusive quality of life for the emergent middle-class,"¹⁵⁴ until now, localism has historically excelled at protecting its homogenous and affluent constituent communities from socioeconomic diversity.¹⁵⁵ By its very definition, localism cannot simultaneously provide the same quality of benefits to all localities, which means that, for poor and working-class localities and the new suburban poor, localism does more harm than

^{150.} See Sheryll D. Cashin, Localism, Self-Interest, and the Tyranny of the Favored Quarter: Addressing the Barriers to New Regionalism, 88 GEO. L.J. 1985, 1987 (2000).

^{151.} See id. at 1987–88.

^{152.} *Id.* at 2004 (quoting Myron Orfield, Metro. Area Research Corp., Seattle Metropolitics: A Regional Agenda for Community and Stability in the Puget Sound Region 1–2 (1999)).

^{153.} See Parlow, supra note 21, at 60.

^{154.} Troutt, supra note 68, at 1146 (emphasis omitted).

^{155.} See id. at 1145.

good, to the detriment of the metropolitan region.¹⁵⁶ Theoretically, localism should provide the same opportunities for efficiency, innovation, and the preservation of the democratic process for all localities in a metropolitan region. The quality of these opportunities for poor and working-class localities, however, is not the same as what is available to middle-class and affluent localities—cementing regional inequities.¹⁵⁷ For example, all public service delivery is not created equal, and inner-ring suburban localities are not equipped to provide the public *social* services to their increasingly poor residents.¹⁵⁸ This is because, regardless of today's post-recession reality, suburban infrastructures were designed to "sustain an exclusive quality of life"¹⁵⁹ and, intentionally, not to provide public social services.¹⁶⁰ The Great Recession certainly did not create poor and working-class suburbs; localism, however, does not serve these localities well.

Localism's dominance in local government law, however, is firmly grounded in the history of suburban development. As suburban localities multiplied across the American landscape, they did so offering escape from urban life as well as the power to exclude any evidence of it.¹⁶¹

So we must ask ourselves, now that the geography of poverty has changed, is localism a defensible approach for any community, even those it formerly served or continues to serve well? This Article answers that question in the negative; future scholarship, previewed in Part IV, will detail how regional interest convergence can demonstrate to localists where their own self-interests are harmed by localism and where their interests align with regionalists'.

2. The First Generation of Regionalism (Old Regionalism)

The first generation of regionalism, "old regionalism," is a response to localism's system of fragmentation. Regionalism offers a much more comprehensive scope to metropolitan development. As metropolitan

^{156.} See id. at 1145–46.

^{157.} See, e.g., Shelley Ross Saxer, Local Autonomy or Regionalism?: Sharing the

Benefits and Burdens of Suburban Commercial Development, 30 IND. L. REV. 659 (1997).

^{158.} KNEEBONE & BERUBE, *supra* note 41, at 62–66.

^{159.} *See* Troutt, *supra* note 68, at 1146 (emphasis omitted). See *supra* notes 153–55 and accompanying text for a discussion of local government officials' perceptions that local, state, and federal government have shared responsibility for providing community services.

^{160.} See Troutt, supra note 68, at 1146.

^{161.} See id.

regions grew in scope, regionalism called for the creation of formal metropolitan government institutions to manage this growth in coordination with the localities in the region. ¹⁶² Supporters argued that formal metropolitan governments could lead to more efficient land use planning and development and more comprehensive service delivery within regions.¹⁶³ Despite federal studies¹⁶⁴ and academic support¹⁶⁵ expounding on the benefits of regionalism, the metropolitan government movement very rarely materialized in practice in the United States.¹⁶⁶

Regionalism's explicit concern is the improvement of government efficiency, initially though the creation of new formal regional government structures.¹⁶⁷ Regionalism began as a movement advocating for the creation of formal government structures to manage development patterns and promote regional equity.¹⁶⁸ The metropolitan government movement, however, transformed over time as suburban units of government began to populate the space surrounding central cities. Instead of advocating for formal regional governments, the movement began to argue for regional governance.¹⁶⁹ "Government" and "governance" are not synonymous terms. A regional government is a formal legal structure formed by a state legislature to exercise authority over a particular subject matter,¹⁷⁰ whereas regional governance refers to voluntary informal cooperative arrangements and contracting practices utilized by local governments to jointly and cooperatively manage certain activities within a region.¹⁷¹ There are only a handful of formal regional government structures in operation in

167. See STEPHENS & WIKSTROM, supra note 46, at 24–27.

168. See Parlow, supra note 21, at 63-64.

^{162.} See STEPHENS & WIKSTROM, supra note 46, at vi. The regional government movement began in earnest during the first half of the twentieth century. See id.

^{163.} *Id.*

^{164.} See, e.g., THE COMM'N ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS, REPORT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR TRANSMITTAL TO THE CONGRESS (1955).

^{165.} See Symposium, Metropolitan Regionalism: Developing Governmental Concepts, 105 U. PA. L. REV. 439 (1957).

^{166.} See infra note 172 and accompanying text regarding formal regional entities in Minnesota and Portland, Oregon.

^{169.} See Gerald E. Frug, Beyond Regional Government, 115 HARV. L. REV. 1763, 1787, 1831 (2002).

^{170.} See STEPHENS & WIKSTROM, supra note 46, at vi.

^{171.} See Frug, supra note 169, at 1787; Parlow, supra note 21, at 62–63.

this country.¹⁷² Regionalism advocates now seek "rules and arrangements that permit, encourage, or require regional approaches to issues of growth, quality of life, and inequality but that do not destroy local autonomy."¹⁷³ The primary arguments in support of regionalism¹⁷⁴ are government efficiency, economic interdependence between central cities and suburbs, fair share contributions of tax revenue, and the establishment of a regional economy through regional economic development.¹⁷⁵

The argument that regionalism is the more efficient governance philosophy stems from the interdependent relationship between central cities and suburbs. The ever-present disparities between central cities and suburbs should not suggest that these entities exist in complete independence of each other. For example, suburban residents still work in central cities, and many suburban communities still rely on centralcity infrastructures for the provision of certain public services.¹⁷⁶ Moreover, domestically, business decisions and strategic planning are centered on regional analysis.¹⁷⁷

173. Richard Briffault, *Beyond City and Suburb: Thinking Regionally*, 116 YALE L.J. POCKET PART 203 (2006), http://yalelawjournal.org/forum/beyond-city-and-suburb-thinking-regionally.

^{172.} Portland, Oregon, and the Twin Cities in Minnesota are the two most stable and lasting attempts at regional government. See Frug, supra note 169, at 1777; see also, e.g., What is Metro, OREGON METRO, http://www.oregonmetro.gov/regional-leadership/what-metro (last visited Jan. 1, 2014), archived at http://perma.cc/J3GL-CC5G; Who We Are, METROPOLITAN COUNCIL, http://www.metrocouncil.org/About-Us/The-Council-Who-We-Are.aspx (last visited Jan. 1, 2014), archived at http://perma.cc/GDN5-CBHT. Portland's Metro is the country's first directly elected regional government, and it manages a range of issues including transportation and boundaries between urban and rural land. What is Metro, supra. The Twin Cities Metropolitan Council manages regional economic development planning, transportation, and housing policy. Who We Are, supra. Some scholars have speculated that an underlying reason for the implementation and tenure of these entities is the fact that they are centered in fairly homogenous populations as compared to other regions in the country. See, e.g., Parlow, supra note 21, at 74-75. While this Article does not advocate for the formation of similar entities, it does advocate for similar equitable outcomes in other metropolitan regions. As such, the country's changing demographics bring a new avenue of study to these entities.

^{174.} According to Professor Richard Briffault, the three core components of the modern argument for regionalism are (i) the region is a "real economic, social, and ecological unit"; (ii) there is a need for comprehensive regional policies and planning; and (iii) there is a need for region-level mechanisms capable of formulating and executing regional-wide perspectives. *See* Briffault, *supra* note 119, at 3–6.

^{175.} See, e.g., id. at 15-17.

^{176.} See infra notes 187–92 and accompanying text.

^{177.} See AMY B. DEAN & DAVID B. REYNOLDS, A NEW NEW DEAL: HOW REGIONAL ACTIVISM WILL RESHAPE THE AMERICAN LABOR MOVEMENT 21–27 (2009).

In addition, several studies have established correlations between central-city income growth and suburban income growth and the positive impact of such growth on a region's overall economy.¹⁷⁸ The notion of regional economies has long been on the radar of economic development professionals,¹⁷⁹ with a particular focus on the economic impact of regional clusters.¹⁸⁰ The economic impact of a regional cluster is under continuous study but not in doubt.¹⁸¹ Domestic business decisions and strategic planning are centered on regional analysis.¹⁸² A relocating or expanding business, for example, will look to the region to determine if there is an existing industry cluster that would complement or compete with its goods and services. In addition, that same business would assess the region's transportation infrastructure, the quality of the available workforce, and the availability of housing for its existing The new regional economy has been described as a workforce. "network economy' in which access to many different forms of networks is critical. These networks may revolve around labor pools,

182. See DEAN & REYNOLDS, supra note 177 at 21-27.

^{178.} See Briffault, supra note 119, at 13 (citing LARRY C. LEDEBUR & WILLIAM R. BARNES, ALL IN IT TOGETHER: CITIES, SUBURBS AND LOCAL ECONOMIC REGIONS 1 (1993)).

^{179.} See id. at 26–28.

^{180.} A regional cluster exists where the economy is based on multiple complimentary industries that provide a diverse range of jobs. *See* Mercedes Delgado, Michael E. Porter & Scott Stern, *Clusters, Convergence, and Economic Performance* 2–3 (Nat'l Bureau of Econ. Research, Working Paper No. 18250, 2012); *see also* Joel Kotkin, *Greetings from Recoveryland*, NEWSWEEK, Nov. 15, 2010, at 30, 31 (reporting that Raleigh-Durham, among a few other areas, is a fast-growing location for Silicon Valley-type activity); Kit Eaton, *The Silicon Valleys of the World: The European Edition*, FAST CO. (July 24, 2012, 1:35 AM) http://www.fastcompany.com/1843422/silicon-valleys-world-european-edition, *archived at* http://perma.cc/8QLD-MABV (listing Dublin, Berlin, and the Silicon Corridor, Silicon Fen, and Silicon Roundabout in Great Britain as European versions of the United States' Silicon Valley).

^{181.} Regional economies based on a singular industry have suffered. "Rust Belt" is the phrase used to describe post-industrial cities who have suffered from massive declines in industry, jobs, and population, although there is some recent anecdotal information to suggest that some of these cities may be experiencing comebacks. *See, e.g.*, Jonathan Oosting, *Brookings: Metro Detroit Economy on 'Road to Full Recovery' After Surviving 'Great Recession,'* MICH. LIVE (Dec. 1, 2010, 10:53 AM), http://www.mlive.com/news/detroit/index.ss f/2010/12/brookings_metro_detroit_econom.html (last updated Dec. 1, 2010, 12:29 PM), *archived at* http://perma.cc/D36G-RFC2 (reporting that Detroit has entered a recovery period since the 2008 recession); Will Doig, *Rust Belt Chic: Declining Midwest Cities Make a Comeback*, SALON (May 12, 2012, 11:00 AM), http://www.salon.com/2012/05/12/rust_belt_chi c_declining_midwest_cities_make_a_comeback/, *archived at* http://perma.cc/KQ2Y-JT36.

finance, retail, services, ideas, or other areas of expertise."¹⁸³ Studies have also found an interdependent relationship between the economic health of a region's citizens and the region's ability to compete successfully in the global marketplace.¹⁸⁴ Thus,¹⁸⁵ regions are domestic economic actors with the potential to participate in global markets.¹⁸⁶ Finally, in addition to the above arguments, there are very practical reasons for regionalism's appeal. Metropolitan residents lead regional lives.¹⁸⁷ Residents' daily activities (including work, shopping, and entertainment) may involve trips to numerous localities within a region in any given day.¹⁸⁸

Regionalists argue that the independent actions of multiple localities within a region are incapable of comprehensively addressing regional issues.¹⁸⁹ Because localities plan in isolation from each other, regionalists argue that this status quo approach, fragmentation, is economically inefficient and inequitable.¹⁹⁰ As independent units with their own tax bases, localities compete against each other for new affluent and middle-class residents who will grow their respective tax bases by deploying a host of fiscal and land use products such as zoning, eminent domain, and tax incentive programs.¹⁹¹ These incentives, however, further intensify regional inequities.¹⁹²

Despite its more comprehensive approach, regionalism still falls short of promoting regional equity. As explained below, the majority of regional activities performed by local governments are governed by

^{183.} See Janice C. Griffith, Regional Governance Reconsidered, 21 J.L. & POL. 505, 508 (2005).

^{184.} See PASTOR ET AL., supra note 31, at 35–36. But see Briffault, supra note 119, at 13–14 (explaining that the argument that regions compete in the global marketplace is controversial).

^{185.} The idea of a regional role in the global economy is more aspirational than the domestic analog. Social scientists, economists in particular, predict a role for regions as global economic actors but acknowledge that the capacity is not there yet except in a handful of regions such as Silicon Valley in northern California. *See* Kotkin, *supra* note 180, at 32; Michael S. Malone, *Why Silicon Valley Will Continue to Rule the Tech Economy* (Aug. 22, 2014, 6:51 PM), http://www.wsj.com/articles/michael-malone-why-silicon-valley-will-continue-to-rule-the-tech-economy-1408747795, *archived at* http://perma.cc/8HV7-YTA9.

^{186.} See Griffith, supra note 183, at 510.

^{187.} See Briffault, supra note 173.

^{188.} See, e.g., id.

^{189.} See id.

^{190.} See Griffith, supra note 183, at 509; see also Briffault, supra note 173.

^{191.} See Briffault, supra note 173.

^{192.} Id.

informal, voluntary arrangements.¹⁹³ The two most frequently employed mechanisms of regional governance are intergovernmental cooperative agreements¹⁹⁴ and special purpose districts.¹⁹⁵ The widespread use of these mechanisms is not suited for ameliorating regional inequities, however, and the flawed design and implementation of these mechanisms actually contribute to regional inequality.¹⁹⁶

State laws generally permit municipalities and local governments to contract with each other through cooperative agreements for shared services and the collection and distribution of taxes.¹⁹⁷ These regional service-sharing agreements typically govern costs and use for services such as police and fire departments, public transportation, waste treatment, airports, sports arenas, and convention centers.¹⁹⁸ While it is quite common practice for localities to voluntarily enter into these agreements for the mutual benefit of their respective citizens, these are not comprehensive schemes of regional governance.¹⁹⁹ First, the scope of these agreements is typically too narrowly defined or otherwise too limited to make any impact on regional inequities.²⁰⁰ For example, scopes of service are limited to discrete topics such as water, policing, or waste removal, whereas, in contrast, regional governance agreements rarely govern more comprehensive equity issues such as affordable housing efforts.²⁰¹ Second, because these agreements are voluntary, they lack any stringent mechanisms for oversight or accountability.²⁰² The

- 197. See Reynolds, Local Governments, supra note 195, at 496.
- 198. See Cashin, supra note 150, at 2030.
- 199. See id. at 2028-30.
- 200. See Reynolds, Intergovernmental Cooperation, supra note 194, at 120-32, 137.

^{193.} See infra notes 197–204 and accompanying text.

^{194.} See Laurie Reynolds, Intergovernmental Cooperation, Metropolitan Equity, and the New Regionalism, 78 WASH. L. REV. 93, 119-32 (2003) [hereinafter Reynolds, Intergovernmental Cooperation].

^{195.} See Laurie Reynolds, Local Governments and Regional Governance, 39 URB. LAW. 483, 498 (2007) [hereinafter Reynolds, Local Governments].

^{196.} See Reynolds, Intergovernmental Cooperation, supra note 194, at 123.

^{201.} See, e.g., McDougall, supra note 68, at 666-67 (discussing regional contribution agreements for affordable housing in New Jersey); Rachel Fox, The Selling Out of Mount Laurel: Regional Contribution Agreements in New Jersey's Fair Housing Act, 16 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 535 (1988) (discussing regional contribution agreements for affordable housing in New Jersey); see also Alan Mallach, The Mount Laurel Doctrine and the Uncertainties of Social Policy in a Time of Retrenchment, 63 RUTGERS L. REV. 849 (2011) (chronicling the series of Mount Laurel court decisions and the effects of their current application on affordable housing issues in New Jersey).

^{202.} Reynolds, Intergovernmental Cooperation, supra note 194, at 127.

consequence of this is that there is no regional-level mechanism that monitors the execution or breach of these agreements. Finally, these agreements are not designed to curb existing inequities between communities.²⁰³ In fact, scholars have argued that cooperative agreements actually exacerbate regional inequalities because only similarly situated municipalities are parties to the agreements.²⁰⁴ Thus, even if they contract with each other, less affluent localities do not benefit from this mechanism because of their limited resources.

The creation of special purpose districts is a second approach to attempting some form of regional governance. On average, each metropolitan region hosts 100 general purpose and special purpose local governments.²⁰⁵ As the name suggests, general purpose governments exercise authority over a large range of subject areas, such as land use, public safety, health, and transportation.²⁰⁶ In contrast, special purpose districts are delegated very limited scopes of authority²⁰⁷ and are formed typically to exercise narrowly defined powers over entities such as sports stadiums or transportation authorities.²⁰⁸ While special purpose governments are not uncommon, they are not inherently regional by design.²⁰⁹ In fact, some scholars have argued that special purpose entities are so widely used precisely because these entities yield no regional impact.²¹⁰ Their narrow focus limits their authority to one issue, prevents any sort of coordinated effort with other entities, and makes them less susceptible to political entreaties.²¹¹ These entities deal with "things regionalism" rather than "people regionalism."²¹² In other words, special purpose entities are not intended to address "lifestyle issues like housing, education, and social equity."213

^{203.} See id. at 116, 128.

^{204.} Professor Laurie Reynolds argues that intergovernmental agreements have "non-trivial" anti-regional effect. *Id.* at 98.

^{205.} STEPHENS & WIKSTROM, *supra* note 46, at vi.

^{206.} BRIFFAULT & REYNOLDS, supra note 133, at 11.

^{207.} Id.

^{208.} See id.

^{209.} See Reynolds, Local Governments, supra note 195, at 498.

^{210.} See id.

^{211.} See id. at 512 (discussing regional special districts).

^{212.} *Id.* at 516–17 (quoting Henry G. CISNEROS, REGIONALISM: THE NEW GEOGRAPHY OF OPPORTUNITY 8–9 (1995)).

^{213.} See id. at 516 (quoting Donald F. Norris, Prospects for Regional Governance Under the New Regionalism: Economic Imperatives Versus Political Impediments, 23 J. URB. AFF. 557, 561 (2001).

Neither intergovernmental cooperative agreements nor special purpose districts are properly designed to alleviate regional inequities. After all of these decades, why has regionalism not been accepted as a viable option to localism? Regionalism has failed to garner significant grassroots support²¹⁴ because, in large part, it is an abstract concept. This is not just the result of a poorly executed marketing strategy, meaning it is not simply a matter of regionalists' having a good product that they are not communicating properly about. It is also about the product, or rather, the lack of an identifiable outcome and a focus instead on too many undefined outcomes. Once regionalism moved away from advocating for formal regional government structures to advocating for informal regional governance structures, it unwittingly opened the door to countless mechanisms and proposals to effectuate informal regional governance. It is hard to get grounded in or feel connected to a movement that has no solid tactical foundation. Numerous articles have been written to propose new forms of regional governance structures or legislation.²¹⁵ Despite these proposals, however, regionalists have done a poor job of communicating with specificity what regionalism has to offer. As a result, regionalism has experienced a series of theoretical and practical failures, which are structural and direct by-products of localism's successes. These include the failure to garner broad political support of, or at least key political figures capable of exercising political will in support of, regionalism; a persistent lack of momentum on the ground; the failure to deliver a solid framework for implementation; and the failure to communicate to localists the shared benefits of regionalism and where their self-interests are aligned.²¹⁶ Regionalism's informal attempt to address equity concerns with governance morphed into what is known as the new regionalism movement.

3. New Regionalism's Unfulfilled Promise

Recognizing the limited appeal of formal regional government entities, regionalism advocates adjusted their strategic position to adopt a "new regionalism."²¹⁷ This new regionalism hearkens back to the underlying principles of the metropolitan government by seeking to

^{214.} See Cashin, supra note 150, at 2037.

^{215.} See, e.g., McDougall, supra note 68.

^{216.} See Briffault, supra note 119, at 15–17; Parlow, supra note 21, at 70–77.

^{217.} See Griffith, supra note 183, at 509–10.

serve the wider social and economic needs of all regional residents.²¹⁸ It marries these equity principles to the use of informal and voluntary governance mechanisms that preserve local government autonomy.²¹⁹ This Article does not present a new type of governance school of thought. Instead, recognizing the limitations in that area and acknowledging that many good ideas have been proposed that are yet to be tested, this Article proposes a strategy for revitalizing the dormant new regionalist movement to achieve regional equity.

New regionalism is an interdisciplinary reform movement that promotes regional governance and regional equity.²²⁰ The movement materialized in planning literature in the 1990s as a response to the unchecked growth of regional inequities.²²¹ Although a succinct definition of new regionalism has yet to evolve, "key elements" include a place-based focus on planning, crafting a "response to the problems of postmodern metropolitan regions," a holistic approach to regional planning, retooling existing physical planning practices, and passionate advocacy.²²² "New regionalists advocate measures to reduce growing inequality, discourage the detrimental fiscal competition between local governments within a metropolitan region, and remove fiscal barriers to cooperative land use planning."²²³ The movement seeks to respond to the current tension in the regional governance conversation concerning the feasibility of and desire to achieve equitable delivery of local government services and cure other regional inequities while respecting local government autonomy.²²⁴ Instead of a formal metropolitan

^{218.} See Cashin, supra note 150, at 2027.

^{219.} See id.

^{220.} See Stephen M. Wheeler, The New Regionalism: Key Characteristics of an Emerging Movement, 68 J. AM. PLAN. ASS'N 267, 267–74 (2002).

^{221.} See id. at 267, 269.

^{222.} See id. at 270–71 (title case removed); see also Reynolds, Intergovernmental Cooperation, supra note 194, at 113 (listing efficiency, economic interdependence, participatory democracy, and equity as the four criteria that underlie the new regionalist movement).

^{223.} Orfield, *supra* note 24, at 92.

^{224.} See Cashin, supra note 150, at 2028. Despite the moniker, new regionalism is not an entirely new concept, and, notwithstanding its stated platforms, there is no universal description of the new regionalist movement. Frug, supra note 169, at 1786–87; see also Wheeler, supra note 220, at 270. "In fact, rather than a cohesive ideology with a wellaccepted policy agenda, New Regionalism refers more precisely to shared concerns and goals for metropolitan area equity." Reynolds, Intergovernmental Cooperation, supra note 194, at 112. "It is hard to pin down exactly what new regionalism is." Frug, supra note 169, at 1786–87.

government, new regionalists advocate for a form of regional governance, created through cooperation and collaborative efforts, seeking to implement governance mechanisms that have regional impact as opposed to regional authority while advancing regional equity.²²⁵

To a large extent, the new regionalism movement is suffering from the same inertia that doomed the metropolitan government movement discussed earlier.²²⁶ This is because much of the conversation concerns what goals new regionalism should achieve to the exclusion of how to achieve those goals²²⁷ and, to the extent such mechanisms exist, they are not structured in ways that promote regional equity. It is too simple (but not inaccurate) to say that new regionalism has a branding problem. New regionalists promote regional equity but not directly in the communities for which it is promoted. A reform movement without a strategy is an academic concept, and new regionalism has largely remained an academic ideal instead of becoming a grassroots movement-which is a missed opportunity. New regionalists hold fundamental the notion that all elements of a region-cities, suburbs, and green space – are connected and should not be treated in isolation from each other.²²⁸ The movement, however, has not articulated any strategies or crafted any political campaigns to achieve its loosely defined goals.²²⁹ It is missing an implementable strategic plan. Regional interest convergence is such a strategy.

Although each governance philosophy has strengths and weaknesses, this Article argues that a new regionalist governance philosophy offers the optimal governance theory for metropolitan regions because it offers a workable balance between governance interests. The new regionalist movement has been missing a strategic framework to facilitate its actual implementation, and this Article presents regional interest convergence as such a framework.

^{225.} See Cashin, supra note 150, at 2027–28.

^{226.} See supra Part II.C.2.

^{227.} But see Cashin, supra note 150, at 2036-41 (proposing grassroots coalition building and the smart growth movement as concrete examples for achieving new regionalism).

^{228.} Griffith, supra note 183, at 509.

^{229.} See Reynolds, Local Governments, supra note 195, at 490; see also Griffith, supra note 183, at 509-10.

III. TOWARDS A NEW STRATEGY FOR REGIONAL GOVERNANCE: INTEREST CONVERGENCE

As explained, the new regionalist movement is missing a substantive implementation strategy. As detailed in Parts III and IV, regional interest convergence can fill this gap. What makes this possible is the reconceptualization of interest convergence as a transaction—an exchange for value between regional constituents. This Article accomplishes that by introducing an interest convergence methodology for use as a strategic tool of reform and as a framework for problem solving. To provide the appropriate context for the methodology, it is important to first review the evolution of the interest convergence theory in legal scholarship, particularly its uses as legal strategy.

A. Interest Convergence Theory

Professor Derrick Bell first articulated the interest convergence theory in a 1980 *Harvard Law Review* article.²³⁰ The article was a response to Professor Herbert Wechsler's criticism of the Supreme Court's decision in *Brown v. Board of Education*, the landmark public school desegregation case.²³¹ Professor Wechsler critiqued the decision as lacking in neutral principles, meaning he believed that the Supreme Court did not reach its decision as an "exercise of reason" but as an exercise of its will by treating segregation in public schools as a matter of discrimination instead of freedom of association.²³² Thus, while Professor Wechsler himself did not personally object to the outcome of the case, he accused the Court of mischaracterizing the issue to achieve the desired outcome.²³³ Professor Bell countered the idea that the case was wrongly decided by using the interest convergence theory as a lens to explain the decision.²³⁴ As *Brown* concerned the nation's separate

^{230.} Bell, *supra* note 27. Professor Derrick Bell was a well-respected constitutional law scholar and pioneer of critical race theory scholarship. The interest convergence theory is arguably one of Professor Bell's most important legacies. Not only is it a pillar of critical race theory scholarship, but it has also been applied in a host of other legal scholarship genres and as a way to elucidate unequal bargaining power and divergent interests. *See* DERRICK BELL OFFICIAL SITE, http://professorderrickbell.com/tributes/ (last visited Nov. 6, 2014), *archived at* http://perma.cc/84V-G7SD.

^{231.} Brown v. Bd. of Educ., 347 U.S. 483 (1954); see also Bell, supra note 27 (discussing Herbert Wechsler, *Toward Neutral Principles of Constitutional Law*, 73 HARV. L. REV. 1 (1959)).

^{232.} See Brown, 347 U.S. at 520.

^{233.} Wechsler, *supra* note 231, at 32–34.

^{234.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 524.

systems for educating black and white children, Bell identified the relevant interests in the case (and as representative of interests throughout the country) as those belonging to blacks and to white elites.²³⁵ Specifically, Professor Bell argued that the Court's decision was the manifestation of the convergence of the interests of white elites and blacks.²³⁶ Professor Bell described the interests of blacks in Brown as wanting to achieve racial equality through desegregated schools, and the interests of white elites as being beyond the immorality of racial inequality²³⁷ and extending to reputational concerns about counteracting Communism domestically (particularly in the black community) and internationally, reassuring black Americans about the country's progress towards racial equality, and removing segregation as a barrier to the industrialization of the South.²³⁸ He concluded that the Court reached its decision because the interest of blacks in achieving racial equality through desegregated schools did not conflict with those interests of white elites.²³⁹ In other words, the interests of blacks seeking racial equality through the desegregation of public schools converged with the very different interests of white elites who viewed the desegregation of America's public schools as a means to the end of protecting the country's international reputation on race matters, stemming Communism, and maintaining a sense of domestic calm.²⁴⁰

Regional interest convergence is a reconceptualization of the interest convergence theory, a theory designed to explain the circumstances under which the interests of subordinated peoples are

797

^{235.} See id. at 523–25. It is important to note that the "white" interests represented the interests of white elites, which adds a very interesting class dimension to the analysis. Poor whites were outraged by the outcome of *Brown. See id.* at 525–26; see also Derrick Bell, *Diversity's Distractions*, 103 COLUM. L. REV. 1622 (2003) (applying the interest convergence theory to explain the Supreme Court's decision in *Grutter v. Bollinger*, 539 U.S. 306 (2003), approving Michigan Law School's affirmative action program in its admissions process). See *infra* Part IV for a discussion of how regional interest convergence contemplates class.

^{236.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 523–24.

^{237.} Id. at 525. In fact, Professor Bell argued that white interest in racial equality would not have been enough to motivate the decision. Id.

^{238.} *Id.* at 524–25. Professor Bell notes that there were whites who recognized that segregation was inhibiting economic development. *See id.*

^{239.} *Id.* at 523. "[T]his principle of 'interest convergence' provides: The interest of blacks in achieving racial equality will be accommodated only when it converges with the interests of whites." *Id.*

^{240.} See id. at 523-25.

advanced.241 Many scholars perceive the theory as a particularly pessimistic view of race relations in America because it asserts that the interests of a subordinated group will not advance until those interests align with the interests of the majority group and the alignment does not alter the majority's status quo.²⁴² Some might therefore question this Article's reference to the interest convergence theory to promote regional equity, particularly because, unlike the interest convergence theory, this Article does not position the advancement of regional equity on the maintenance of any group's status quo. This Article instead takes the position that interest convergence has the potential to be a dynamic tool for identifying and aligning the myriad interests in metropolitan regions because it explicitly contemplates the positions of subordinated peoples, a necessary factor in any equity analysis.²⁴³ This Article reconceptualizes the interest convergence theory and highlights the theory's unique suitability for navigating power dynamics in metropolitan regions by identifying and aligning interests across a metropolitan region to promote regional equity without regard for the maintenance of any regional constituents' status quo.²⁴⁴

B. Interest Convergence Methodology

Several notable legal scholars have employed interest convergence theory to discuss a range of social inequities and cultural phenomena.²⁴⁵ These scholars have broadened the application of the interest convergence theory by crafting derivative theories of convergence to analyze group dynamics and to advocate for reform.²⁴⁶ Similarly, this

^{241.} See Justin Driver, Rethinking the Interest-Convergence Thesis, 105 NW. U. L. REV 149, 150–51 (2011).

^{242.} See Cashin, supra note 26, at 254–55. See also *infra* notes 246–64 and accompanying text for a discussion of the optimistic frame of the transactional approach.

^{243.} See Palmore v. Sidoti, 466 U.S. 429, 433 (1984).

^{244.} This application of interest convergence in a transactional context diverges from Professor Bell's original articulation of the theory. *See supra* notes 230–40 and accompanying text.

^{245.} See, e.g., Cashin, supra note 26.

^{246.} See, e.g., infra notes 244–52 and accompanying text; see also Cashin, supra note 26; Michèle Alexandre, "Love Don't Live Here Anymore": Economic Incentives for a More Equitable Model of Urban Redevelopment, 35 B.C. ENVTL. AFF. L. REV. 1 (2008) (exploring interest convergence between city officials and private developers to incorporate the interests of the "economically vulnerable" in redevelopment projects). For a detailed list and analysis of articles exploring the interest convergence theory in legal scholarship, see Driver, supra note 241, at 152 nn.17–18 (critiquing Professor Bell's interest convergence theory). See also

Article also presents a new derivative theory of interest convergence. This Article, however, explores the interest convergence theory from a transactional perspective and proposes interest convergence as a strategy for reviving the new regionalist movement and advancing regional equity.

Professor Cynthia Lee writes that interest convergence has applications as both an "explanation" and a "tool of strategy or prediction."²⁴⁷ Interest convergence as "explanation" is demonstrated when legal scholars use the theory to explain specific judicial decisions, judicial trends, and legislative enactments.²⁴⁸ Professor Bell's articulation of the theory to explain *Brown* is the archetype of this application.²⁴⁹ Interest convergence as a "tool of strategy and prediction" speaks to legal scholars' deployment of the theory to advocate for reform in various subjects.²⁵⁰ For example, Professor Lee uses interest convergence theory as the foundation for her theory of cultural convergence, which offers a normative theory for predicting when cultural evidence will be successfully used by defendants in criminal trials.²⁵¹ Cultural convergence is "the idea that the interests of minority and immigrant criminal defendants in obtaining leniency seem most likely to receive accommodation when there is a convergence between dominant majority cultural norms and the cultural norms relied upon by the immigrant or minority defendant."252 According to Professor Lee, cultural convergence theory can be an explanation for

252. Id. at 913-14.

Stephen M. Feldman, Do The Right Thing: Understanding the Interest-Convergence Thesis, 106 Nw. U. L. REV. COLLOQUY 248 (2012) (critiquing Professor Driver's critique).

^{247.} Lee, supra note 28, at 925, 933. For example, Professor Catherine Smith employs interest convergence theory as an organizing strategy to promote what she coined "outsider interest convergence." Catherine Smith, Unconscious Bias and "Outsider" Interest Convergence, 40 CONN. L. REV. 1077, 1080 (2008). Professor Smith argues that subordinated groups should use interest convergence theory to build coalitions with each other as "outsiders" to the majority by identifying "what are perceived to be white middle class, heterosexual norms and the subordinated groups' respective group's failures to conform to those norms serve to marginalize each group and all groups in the coalition." Id. at 1089. For Professor Smith, interest convergence theory is a tool that subordinated groups should use to find shared concerns and commonalities in order to strengthen their collective power and facilitate interactions with the majority. See id. at 1089–90.

^{248.} See Lee, supra note 28, at 925–32.

^{249.} See Bell, supra note 27.

^{250.} See Lee, supra note 28, at 933 (presenting four categories of legal scholarship that treat interest convergence theory as strategy or predictive of the success of that strategy: workplace reform, educational reform, political reform, and other reforms).

^{251.} See id. at 914.

why some defendants may be more successful than others in defending their criminal cases.²⁵³ In other words, when immigrant and minority defendants are successful with the deployment of cultural evidence in their defense, it may be because they have cultural norms that are similar to or in alignment with the norms of our dominant culture.²⁵⁴ This is an example of employing interest convergence theory as a tool for prediction. Professor Lee's approach highlights a quintessential point about the interest convergence theory—it is not an answer but a device by which to illuminate possible answers, explanations, strategies, and predictions.²⁵⁵

The feasibility of the interest convergence theory as the explanation for the outcome in *Brown* is certainly subject to debate. Professor Bell himself acknowledged that the white interests he identified in Brown might "seem insufficient proof of self-interest leverage" to explain *Brown*, given the importance of the decision, but that those interests, nonetheless, help assess the decision.²⁵⁶ Professor Bell's analysis presents a set of criteria that can be normalized and replicated to promote interest convergence in other contexts, specifically, for the purposes of this Article, the new regionalist movement.²⁵⁷ This Article distills the interest convergence theory into its component elements to formalize an interest convergence methodology and applies interest convergence in the context of local government law from a transactional perspective.²⁵⁸ As such, regional interest convergence serves as a novel application of interest convergence from a transactional perspective in the local government context.

Transactional law focuses on creating value for the various parties to a transaction.²⁵⁹ Interest convergence theory has overwhelmingly been

^{253.} See id.

^{254.} See id.

^{255.} See id. at 939–58.

^{256.} Bell, *supra* note 28, at 525.

^{257.} See id. at 523–25.

^{258.} Cf. Jonathan C. Augustine, The Interest Convergence of Education Reform and Economic Development: A Response to "The State of Our Unions," 51 U. LOUISVILLE L. REV. 407 (2013) (writing about the convergence of economic interests to improve public education).

^{259.} See, e.g., Ronald J. Gilson, Value Creation by Business Lawyers: Legal Skills and Pricing, 94 YALE L.J. 239, 244–56 (1984); Ronald J. Gilson, Lawyers as Transaction Cost Engineers (Working Paper No. 147, 1997), available at http://ssrn.com/abstract=11418, archived at http://perma.cc/8PMZ-GP3M.

examined in a litigation- or rights-based context.²⁶⁰ This Article proposes that "interest convergence operating in a transactional context, facilitates sustainable alignments between distinct sets of interests and that these alignments are fluid and would be responsive to changes in interests over time."²⁶¹ While litigating rights-based controversies has undoubtedly secured fundamental rights for subordinated groups, that approach can produce a framework for myopic and narrow analyses of those interests.²⁶² Transactional practice, in contrast, is a collaborative process.²⁶³ Instead of one party seeking value at the expense of another, all parties to the transaction typically expect some sort of exchange of value.²⁶⁴

The methodology below presents Professor Bell's analysis of the facts and outcome of *Brown* to identify the criteria for navigating an interest convergence question.²⁶⁵ The elements of the methodology are as follows: multiple constituent groups, one of whom is subordinated or represents a subordinated interest;²⁶⁶ distinct interests held by each constituent group; at least one method of convergence; and an actual resulting convergence that does not downgrade the status quo of the dominant party.²⁶⁷

^{260.} See supra note 28 and accompanying text.

^{261.} Patience A. Crowder, *Interest Convergence as Transaction?*, 75 U. PITT. L. REV. (forthcoming 2015) (manuscript at 7) (on file with author).

^{262.} See John O. Calmore, Critical Race Theory, Archie Shepp, and Fire Music: Securing an Authentic Intellectual Life in a Multicultural World, 65 S. CAL. L. REV. 2129, 2215–16 (1992).

^{263.} ALICIA ALVAREZ & PAUL R. TREMBLAY, INTRODUCTION TO TRANSACTIONAL LAWYERING PRACTICE 4 (2013).

^{264.} Id.

^{265.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 523–33.

^{266.} See *infra* notes 276–78 and accompanying text for a discussion about whether, excluding *Brown*, subordination is a requirement for all interest convergence questions.

^{267.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 523–33.

MARQUETTE LAW REVIEW

Multiple Constituent Groups	White Elites	Blacks	
Multiple Interests	Protect the United States' international reputation concerning race relations; curtail the spread of Communism in the black community; and remove segregation as a barrier to industrialization in the South.	n hs; Equal access to public education (desegregation)	
Subordinated Constituent Group/ Type of Subordination	Blacks/Race and Non-elite Whites/Class ²⁶⁸	Blacks/Race and Non-elite Whites/Class	
Medium for Convergence	U.S. Supreme Court	U.S. Supreme Court	
Resulting Convergence	Desegregation while preserving status quo of this constituent group	Desegregation	

Table 1 Brown Interest Convergence Methodology

^{268.} The specific exclusion of the interests of low-income whites is a second form of subordination presented by *Brown. See id.* at 525–26.

1. Multiple Constituent Groups

An interest convergence transaction requires multiple constituent groups. Professor Bell constructed the interest convergence theory around the interests of black and elite white Americans.²⁶⁹ He made it a point to explain that poor white Americans were vehemently opposed to public school integration,²⁷⁰ and their interests were not considered by the Court.²⁷¹

2. Multiple Interests

An interest convergence transaction requires at least two distinct sets of interests. According to Professor Bell, the interests of white elites centered on America's international reputation for being a country of tolerance; foreclosing the spread of Communism, particularly in the black community; and removing the economic barriers to industrialization that were being structurally maintained by segregation in the south.²⁷² The interests of blacks, in comparison, centered on achieving racial equality through the desegregation of public schools.²⁷³ It is important to understand that the interests in an interest convergence transaction do not have to mirror each other or even be similar for a convergence to occur. As explained further in Part IV, the interests must be capable of being aligned. In *Brown*, the interests of blacks in desegregated schools as a function of "equality of individual opportunity"²⁷⁴ aligned with the interests of white elites to facilitate the Court's decision to desegregate public schools.²⁷⁵

3. Subordinated Party/Type of Subordination

Interest convergence was designed to explain convergences of interest between multiple parties, where one party was subordinated in some respect to the other.²⁷⁶ *Brown* was decided at the beginning of the civil resistance campaigns of America's Civil Rights Movement, a social movement dedicated to eradicating formal systems of race

^{269.} Id. at 523.

^{270.} Id. at 525.

^{271.} See id. at 525–26.

^{272.} Id. at 523–25.

^{273.} Id. at 529.

^{274.} WILLIAM JULIUS WILSON, THE TRULY DISADVANTAGED: THE INNER CITY, THE UNDERCLASS, AND PUBLIC POLICY 112 (2d ed. 2012).

^{275.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 524–25.

^{276.} See id. at 523.

discrimination in the United States and lessening the impact of informal discriminatory practices.²⁷⁷ Thus, blacks were the subordinated group in *Brown* and the type of subordination was racial discrimination, specifically with respect to segregation in public schools.²⁷⁸

4. Medium for Convergence

An interest convergence transaction requires a medium for convergence.²⁷⁹ In *Brown*, the Supreme Court was the method of convergence. While the Court's decision does not explicitly state that it considered each of the interests identified by Professor Bell, there is evidence that the parties raised these or similar issues in their respective briefs in addition to other forms of circumstantial evidence.²⁸⁰

5. Resulting Convergence

An interest convergence transaction has an outcome.²⁸¹ In *Brown*, the Court's decision to desegregate America's public schools was the resultant interest convergence.²⁸² Desegregation was formally outlawed, which met the interests of white elites in preserving America's international reputation on race relations, appeasing blacks and stemming Communism in the black community, and opening access to quality public education in the South with the goal of industrializing the South.²⁸³ This outcome met the interests of both blacks and white elites without, as Professor Bell noted, altering the status quo of white elites.²⁸⁴

Distilling the interest convergence theory down to its basic elements permits a reconceptualization of the theory's import and application. This reconceptualization is appropriate because, to date, scholars have

^{277.} See WILSON, supra note 274, at 112-14.

^{278.} See Brown v. Bd. of Educ., 347 U.S. 483 (1954).

^{279.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 528–29.

^{280.} See Brief for Appellants, Brown v. Bd. of Educ., 347 U.S. 483 (1954) (No. 1); see also Lee, supra note 28 at 922–24 (citing MARY L. DUDZIAK, COLD WAR RIGHTS: RACE AND THE IMAGE OF AMERICAN DEMOCRACY 90–102 (2000) (discussing Mary Dudziak's book Cold War Civil Rights: Race and the Image of American Democracy, in which Dudziak detailed archival records that substantiated Bell's assertion that Brown was a Cold War reaction – validating his interest convergence theory)).

^{281.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 523.

^{282.} See id. at 524; see also Brown, 347 U.S. at 495.

^{283.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 523–25.

^{284.} This Article deviates from Professor Bell's interest convergence theory on this point. As explained in Part IV, regional interest convergence does not require the majority party's status quo to remain unchanged to facilitate the convergence. *See infra* notes 322–25 and accompanying text.

explored interest convergence theory in litigation-based contexts.²⁸⁵ This reconceptualization demonstrates that, on a most fundamental level, interest convergence can be a type of transaction between multiple parties (here, regional constituent groups) which creates a space for the implementation of regional interest convergence as a transaction for pursuing new regionalism in regional planning.

IV. REGIONAL INTEREST CONVERGENCE: A NEW REGIONALISM REVIVAL

As I have argued in other scholarship, interest convergence can serve as a transactional framework for bringing value to different constituent groups.²⁸⁶ In that vein, regional interest convergence is a transactional strategy that could reboot the new regionalism movement and revive it by activating new regionalism's twin goals of equity and efficiency.²⁸⁷ Using the nomenclature of local government law, this section identifies regional constituents and their interests that are ripe for convergence; introduces the regional interest convergence methodology; and outlines mechanisms to effectuate regional interest convergence. The vignettes below depict scenarios of two different types of metropolitan communities and demonstrate where the interests of these regional constituents can converge.

A Tale of Two Communities

Although it didn't start that way, life in Townedge Park²⁸⁸ has been hard for generations. Originating as a working class neighborhood in the late nineteenth century, today, it is known as the untouchable neighborhood-the "hard hood." A community surrounded by industry and where people without choice or options end up. Depending on who you ask, it may be the poorest community in the city; it certainly is one of the poorest in the state. It is the neighborhood of last resort, where no one moves to voluntarily but finds a way to call it home once they are there. It is approximately 1,500 people surrounded by a Central City of almost 500,000. It is a community where close to 90% of the housing is public or subsidized low-quality housing; where the median annual household income is \$8,000; where the median rent is \$174; where 82%

^{285.} See, e.g., Lee, supra note 28, at 925-33.

^{286.} See Crowder, supra note 261.

^{287.} See Tyson, supra note 25, at 302.

^{288.} Townedge Park is a hypothetical neighborhood in a central city.

of the population lives below the poverty level (compared to 22% of the surrounding city); where over 90% of the adult women in the community commute outside of it for work in a service industry; and where the overwhelming majority of residents are people of color and immigrants. It is a poor inner-city community and its struggles have isolated it from other communities in the city.

In comparison, since its formation as a suburb of Central City, dayto-day life in Groveland is markedly different from the daily experiences in Townedge Park.²⁸⁹ This is despite the fact that the communities are less than fifteen miles apart. It incorporated as a suburb in the 1940s to form part of the emerging metropolitan region and existed as an idyllic community for decades. Over the years its population grew steadily but modestly to close to 85,000. Its leadership worked to preserve a certain quality of life in Groveland by steadfastly focusing on promoting the construction of single-family homes and recruiting national commercial chains. Within four years of the housing crisis and the Great Recession, one in every eighteen homes was foreclosed. Today, it is a community of single-family homes, of two-car garages, and where the median household income used to be \$89,000 and the unemployment rate sat at 3%. Today, unemployment is 9%. The construction and manufacturing jobs that had historically sustained Groveland and similar suburbs across the nation disappeared. Formerly an affluent community, Groveland now has struggles similar to those in Townedge Park.

Not so long ago, the differences between these two communities could not be starker; today, however, they share striking commonalities that present profound opportunities for change in regional planning and poverty alleviation programs.

The application of regional interest convergence to regional inequity is particularly appropriate because, as discussed in Part III, the origins of interest convergence theory lie in identifying mechanisms by which subordinated groups can advance their cause.²⁹⁰ The strategy is also relevant given the history of race and class discrimination in the evolution of our metropolitan regions.²⁹¹ The growth and development of metropolitan regions cannot be fully understood nor can the persistent advancement of regional inequity be remedied without recognizing the intersection of race and class discrimination that

^{289.} Groveland is a hypothetical suburb of a central city.

^{290.} See supra notes 276–85 and accompanying text.

^{291.} See supra Part II.A.

sustained the suburbs for decades. Exclusive by design, the proliferation of the suburbs was fueled by racial and economic segregation that confined the majority of blacks to central cities to contend with a range of social ills, including concentrated poverty.²⁹² This, unsurprisingly, led to a correlation between race and poverty that that persists today.²⁹³ Efforts to alleviate poverty throughout metropolitan regions have to take this race and class dynamic into account to reach their optimum level of effectiveness. Otherwise, systematic problems will go unaddressed.

A. Regional Constituents

Metropolitan regions house a diverse range of individual, community-based, and institutional residents, and, as such, are home to a diverse range of regional constituent groups, each with its own respective interests. In the regional governance vernacular, there are two predominant, but unequally situated, regional constituent groups: regionalists and localists.²⁹⁴ These are not political parties. These labels are shorthand for an approach that a regional constituent would support to protect its space and interests in the region. Regionalists advocate for mechanisms of regional governance to conceive of, manage, and develop metropolitan areas as regional spaces.²⁹⁵ Localists champion the autonomy of independent local governments as the appropriate form of regional management.²⁹⁶ Scholarship on local government law and regional governance has not recognized areas for the convergence of interests between regionalists and localists. This Article presents regional interest convergence as a framework that can facilitate that convergence by identifying and squaring the amorphous limits of new

296. Id. at 53.

^{292.} There is an extensive literature about the well-documented patterns of discrimination that supported the exclusivity of the suburbs. See generally MARY PATTILLO, BLACK ON THE BLOCK: THE POLITICS OF RACE AND CLASS IN THE CITY (2007); RUSK, supra note 38; ROBERT O. SELF, AMERICAN BABYLON: RACE AND THE STRUGGLE FOR POSTWAR OAKLAND (2003); RAY SUAREZ, THE OLD NEIGHBORHOOD: WHAT WE LOST IN THE GREAT SUBURBAN MIGRATION 1966-1999 (1999).

^{293.} See, e.g., Ian Haney López, Is Paul Ryan Racist?, POLITICO (Mar. 14, 2014), http:// www.politico.com/magazine/story/2014/03/is-paul-ryan-racist-104687_full.html?, archived at http://perma.cc/W2JF-2X8R (discussing politics and the racialization of inner-city poverty). It is worth noting that the non-traditional members of the LMI population are typically not minorities and tend to have more education than the traditional LMI population. Edmiston, supra note 95, at 53.

^{294.} See Briffault, supra note 119, at 1.

^{295.} See Parlow, supra note 21, at 52.

regionalism.²⁹⁷ As explained below, regional interest convergence makes these limits functionally effective through the identification of regional constituent groups and their interests and by providing a convergence mechanism for aligning those interests.²⁹⁸

1. The Localists' Autonomy Interest

As previously explained, localism is currently the dominant governance construct in metropolitan regions.²⁹⁹ Succinctly stated, localism is "about the legal and political empowerment of local areas."³⁰⁰ Localists, therefore, seek to preserve and protect the current system of multiple "relatively small governments wielding power over such critical matters as local land use regulation, local taxation, and the financing of local public services."³⁰¹ As a system that celebrates the independence of localities, localism is supported by a range of individual and institutional actors.³⁰² These individual and institutional actors are what I identify as the first of the two regional constituent groups identified in this Article: the localists. The localists constituent group consists of suburban local government leaders, residents of affluent suburbs, business and industry entities,³⁰³ real estate developers,³⁰⁴ institutions of higher education, and, in many cases, central-city officials.³⁰⁵ The members of the localists constituency have varying reasons for their preference but are united in their ideology of decentralized power in regional spaces.³⁰⁶ The members of the localists constituent group

- 301. *Id.* at 1.
- 302. See id. at 2.

303. *See id.* While business and industry may have strategic regional plans to guide their growth and development, these entities interact with local governments on a variety of significant matters that include recruitment, relocation assistance, and tax incentives.

304. See JOHN MARTINEZ, LOCAL GOVERNMENT LAW § 8.1 (Supp. 2014).

305. Despite the concerns of inner-city communities with equity, central-city leadership is included in the localist constituent group because many of these leaders typically view autonomy as the way to maintain the influence of the central city (or at least its internal power structure). *See, e.g.*, Briffault, *supra* note 119, at 28–29 ("Central city officials and residents who would benefit from some forms of regionalism, such as tax base sharing, might be leery of regional initiatives that seem to threaten the autonomy of their communities or weaken their political voices.").

306. See Parlow, supra note 21, at 53. The localists interest of autonomy has two distinct strands: autonomy and equity. In other words, there are two subsets of localists—those who value autonomy for the sake of autonomy and those who value autonomy as a means for

^{297.} See supra notes 24-28 and accompanying text.

^{298.} See infra notes 311–15 and accompanying text.

^{299.} See Parlow, supra note 21, at 51.

^{300.} Briffault, *supra* note 119, at 2.

typically occupy a privileged position in regional spaces — a position that has historically been well-maintained by localism.³⁰⁷ While the earliest arguments for localism were clothed in the language of "public choice" to preserve the localist values identified in Part II,³⁰⁸ today's dominant justification is articulated as "autonomy"³⁰⁹— the autonomy to control land use, tax rates and the distribution of tax revenues, and the delivery of municipal services.³¹⁰ Thus, for the purposes of the regional interest convergence methodology, the localists interest is autonomy. "Choice" and "autonomy" are seemingly innocuous terms, but we know from the history of metropolitan regional development that they can be used as proxies for race and class discrimination.³¹¹ Because regional interest convergence requires the identification and alliance of interests, it has the potential to move beyond such pretexts and guard against discrimination.

2. The Regionalists' Equity Interests

Regionalists "call for new regional processes, structures, or institutions that can identify regional problems, formulate regional solutions, implement those solutions, and coordinate regional actions over a wide range of policy domains."³¹² The second group of regional constituents I have identified is the regionalists. The regionalists

achieving regional equity. I call these two subsets autonomy-localists and equity-localists, respectively. Equity-localists want the same equitable outcome for regional residents as the regionalists, but believe that is best achieved through local governments. See supra Part II.C.1. For example, equity-localists such as Professor Gerald Frug believe that cities are hamstrung by limitations set by their state governments and that increased autonomy would enhance community participation as well as the governing and decision-making powers of municipal bodies. See Frug, supra note 169, at 1789-90. While all localists value autonomy, the identity of the equity-localists differs from the identity of the autonomy-localists. Equity-localists are the minority viewpoint in the localists constituency and tend to be academics and social scientists as opposed to individuals with economic or political power to effectuate change (unlike autonomy-localists who are generally the parties residing in or otherwise protective of the "favored quarter"). See Cashin, supra note 150, at 1987. Neither autonomy-localists nor equity-localists are satisfied with the current level of power held by local governments and believe that local governments need more autonomy through increased powers delegated by the states. See Frug, supra, note 167, at 1789-92; see also Briffault, supra note 119, at 28-29.

^{307.} See supra notes 149–60 and accompanying text.

^{308.} See Frug, supra note 167, at 1764 & n.3; see also supra Part II.C.1.

^{309.} See Briffault, supra note 119, at 15, 17-19.

^{310.} See MARTINEZ, supra note 304.

^{311.} See Briffault, supra note 119, at 24–25.

^{312.} *Id.* at 6.

constituent group consists of a wide range of subgroups that includes working class and low-income communities (regardless of race or ethnicity); residential communities of color (regardless of class); immigrants; residents of inner-ring suburbs; central-city residents; nonprofit and other charitable organizations; social justice organizations; and new regionalists.³¹³ These are the groups that have historically been affected, either directly or indirectly, by racial and class inequities.³¹⁴ As such, it should not be a surprise that, for the purposes of the regional interest convergence methodology, their interest is Regional equity seeks equal access to opportunities that equity. decrease income gaps within a region and remove barriers to regional construction of affordable housing and the creation of good jobs that pay living wages.³¹⁵ The animation of the new regionalism movement, however, requires the strategic acknowledgement that equity is not the primary interest of *all* regional constituents. To navigate regional interest convergence, I have identified two predominant regional constituent groups: localists and regionalists. What follows is an explanation of the regional interest convergence methodology.

B. Regional Interest Convergence Methodology

This section introduces the regional interest convergence methodology.³¹⁶ Like the interest convergence methodology, the

This Article is focused on interest convergence between the localists and regionalists constituent groups; however, it is important to recognize that each regional constituent group is comprised of subset groups whose interests must be recognized before regional interest convergence can be deployed as a new regionalist strategy. In other words, the localists and regionalists constituent groups must each undergo a micro-interest convergence of the interests of their respective subsets. Because each constituent group represents multiple subsets of interests, the interests of localists and regionalists must be intra-aligned via a micro-interest convergence within their respective constituent groups before cross-aligned between localists and regionalists. As such, this Article argues that three types of interest convergence are required to move towards new regionalist governance: (1) micro-interest convergence among regionalists, (2) micro-interest convergence among localists, and (3) macro-interest convergence between localists and regionalists. Again, this Article is focused on the

^{313.} See, e.g., supra notes 79-80, 348-50 and accompanying text.

^{314.} See Parlow, supra note 21, at 69.

^{315.} See Parlow, supra note 21, at 69–70.

^{316.} It is important to note that these constituent groups are archetypes of the two governance theories. These are not monolithic categories but expansive labels that represent several subcategories of regional constituents. It is certainly possible that an affluent home owner could have regionalists sympathies despite her class status. Likewise, it is also possible that a working class resident of an inner-ring suburb could have localists sympathies despite her class status.

regional interest convergence methodology contemplates the following elements: multiple constituent groups; distinct interests held by each group; a subordinated interest; a method of convergence; and a resulting convergence.³¹⁷ Both of the methodology charts demonstrate a transactional perspective that brings to light new and intriguing commonalities between the interests identified in Brown and those of the localists and regionalists constituent groups. Specifically, the charts reveal that the underlying interests in the Brown and regional interest convergence methodologies are similar. The chart below makes what was implicit in Brown explicit-that equity and autonomy questions were at the center of *Brown*.³¹⁸ The equal access to public education interest of blacks in *Brown* is fundamentally a question of equity-a question of equal access and opportunity in public education. Unfortunately, this remains a social and political challenge and is one of the current platforms of today's regional equity movement. Similarly, the reputational interests of white elites in *Brown* are fundamentally a question about autonomy, albeit reputational autonomy and selfgovernance as opposed to formally designated local government autonomy prevalent throughout metropolitan regions today. White elites in Brown wanted control over how the world perceived America's race relations.³¹⁹ Today, localists want to maintain local control in regional spaces.

convergence of localists and regionalists interests. An analysis of micro-interest convergence must be undertaken in future scholarship; however, there is support for this idea in Professor Bell's work. Micro-interest convergence occurred among the white elites in *Brown* as evidenced by the arguments in the amicus briefs. *See* Bell, supra note 27, at 524; *see also supra* note 280 and accompanying text. Similarly, blacks in *Brown* underwent micro-interest convergence through community organizing. *See* Bell, *supra* note 27, at 524. The identification of micro-interest convergence as a necessary step for regional interest convergence is a nuanced discovery. It is important because it names and and normalizes the interest convergence methodology, thus making it an accessible and replicable strategy for subordinated groups. *See, e.g.*, Yxta Maya Murray, *Towards Interest Convergence: Coalition Building Requires Connection Within as Well as Without*, 33 CAL. W. L. REV. 205 (1997).

^{317.} Supra Part III.B.

^{318.} See supra notes 237–38 and accompanying text.

^{319.} See supra note 235 and accompanying text.

Table 2

Comparison of *Brown* Interest Convergence and Regional Interest Convergence Methodologies

	Brown Interest Convergence		Regional Interest Convergence	
Constituent Groups	White Elites	Blacks	Regionalists	Localists
Interests	Protect America's international reputation concerning race relations; curtail the spread of Communism in the black community; and remove segregation as a barrier to industrialization in the South. These interests reflect the simultaneous autonomous interests of white elites in both managing the world's perception of America in race relations and managing their own sense of happiness with the state of the country's development.	Educational equality. This interest in public education represents a core component of the modern regional equity movement.	Equity in access to opportunity, including public education, affordable housing, employment opportunities, and economic development.	Autonomy of local governments.
Subordinated Constituent Group and Type of Subordination	Blacks/Race and Poor Whites/Class	Blacks/Race and Poor Whites/Class	Blacks/Race and Poor Whites/Class	Blacks/Race and Poor Whites/Class
Method of Convergence	Supreme Court		Regional Organizing Through Interest-Based Negotiation	
Resulting Convergence	Desegregation		Regional Equity	

1. Multiple Constituent Groups

Like in *Brown*, regional interest convergence contemplates two constituent groups: localists and regionalists.³²⁰ Regional interest convergence, therefore, will occur between the localists and regionalists constituent groups.

2. Multiple Interests³²¹

As in *Brown*, regional interest convergence contemplates two sets of interests, each held by a regional constituent group: autonomy, valued by localists,³²² and equity, valued by regionalists.³²³ The interest

Institutional socialization describes the phenomenon that occurs when independent members of a singular institution show greater convergence of interest over the course of time because of the frequency of contact between the members. *See* Bearce & Bondanella, *supra* at 703. It speaks to the value of social interaction among representatives from various institutions who are collectively housed by one umbrella institution to find shared interests. Institutional socialization is crucial to achieving interest convergence across and within diverse institutions. Given the range of institutional and individual members of the localists subgroups, there are different types of micro-interest convergences that can occur within the localist constituent group. Institutional interests are particularly prevalent in the localists constituent group. *See* Briffault, *supra* note 119, at 22. Specifically, a type of micro-interest convergence that institutional localists can undergo before effectuating regional interest convergence is institutional socialization. *See* Bearce & Bondanella, *supra*, at 723.

Institutional socialization explains the informal process by which the attitudes and behaviors of independent actors are integrated over the course of their interaction within the same institution. *See* Bearce & Bondanella, *supra*, at 706. In other words, it is likely that the interests of individuals who repeatedly interact with each other under the auspices of the

^{320.} See supra Table 2.

^{321.} As explained in note 311, each regional constituent group should undergo a microinterest convergence within itself to facilitate regional interest convergence between the localists and regionalists constituent groups. See *infra* note 316 for an outline of these microinterest convergence mechanisms.

^{322.} The literature discussing interest convergence is not limited to legal scholarship. Political scientists have also employed interest convergence mechanisms to explain or predict certain outcomes. See Stacy Bondanella Taninchev, Intergovernmental Organizations and Interest Convergence: Does Issue Area Matter? (Prepared for the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, Sept. 1–4, 2011, Seattle, W.A.), available at http://pap ers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1901961, archived at http://perma.cc/8CT6-5UV6. Institutional socialization is a form of micro-interest convergence that institutional localists can undergo before effectuating regional interest convergence. See generally David H. Bearce & Stacy Bondanella, Intergovernmental Organizations, Socialization, and Member-State Interest Convergence, 61 INT'L ORG. 703 (2007). Of particular relevance to this Article are convergences of institutional interests, which are the interests of the institutions that have a demonstrated regional impact. In a paper analyzing state behavior in international relations, Professor Stacy Bondanella Taninchev explains that "greater interaction" within an institution "leads to greater interest convergence over time." Bondanella Taninchev, supra, at 3. This form of interest convergence is institutional socialization. See id.

convergence theory teaches that the constituents' interests need not be the same for a convergence to occur.³²⁴ These two sets of interests are

same organization will integrate over the course of time. This is true even despite the fact that their respective work in the organization is as a representative for another entity (as is the case with regional councils of government discussed below). This would be a micro-convergence within the localists constituent group.

323. Engaged and effective multi-racial and class-based coalitions are essential to the revival of new regionalism. Interest group convergence is the mechanism by which to form these coalitions and is the micro-interest convergence for regionalists necessary to facilitate regional interest convergence. The phrase was first coined by Professor Sheryll Cashin, who crafted the phrase to describe her advocacy for a process to encourage racial minorities to coalesce around their similarities and exercise collective political power in pursuit of shared interests that impact each group in the coalition for the ultimate purpose of "achieving progressivity through interest group convergence." *See* Cashin, *supra* note 26, at 256, 265, 273–74 (coining "interest group convergence"). Professor Bell's theory of interest convergence explains an outcome produced when two parties' interests align despite the fact that one of the parties is subordinate to the other. In contrast, Professor Cashin's theory of interest group convergence explains an alignment of similarly situated groups. *See id.* at 272–75. These groups are the subgroups that comprise the regionalist constituent group; like the localists, regionalists must undergo an in-group micro-convergence to facilitate regional interest convergence. *See supra* Part IV.A.2.

In developing this theory, Professor Cashin advances the idea of employing interest convergence as a strategy—specifically a political strategy—and she argues that interest convergence theory is the appropriate strategy for forming multi-racial coalitions to challenge racial inequalities and champion progressive legislation. *See id.* at 268–291. Relying on political science literature, Professor Cashin identifies the following four preconditions as requirements for viable multi-racial coalitions:

(a) the recognition by the parties involved of their respective self-interests; (b) the mutual belief that each party stands to benefit in terms of that self-interest from allying with the other or others; (c) the acceptance of the fact that each party has its own independent base of power and does not depend for ultimate decision-making on a force outside itself; and (d) the realization that the coalition deals with specific and identifiable – as opposed to general and vague – goals.

Id. at 270 (quoting STOKELY CARMICHAEL & CHARLES V. HAMILTON, BLACK POWER: THE POLITICS OF LIBERATION IN AMERICA 79–80 (1967)). Specifically, Professor Cashin argues that a "convergence of interests among communities of color, working class[es], and progressive whites" could lead to a more effective progressive coalition. *Id.* at 255. In making her argument, she reviews the tensions that have historically and are currently challenging multi-racial coalitions and explains that determining whether individual groups are more motivated by ideology or interest is the most challenging hurdle to the formation of multi-racial coalitions. *See id.* at 278–81. Professor Cashin defines "ideology" as "the pre-existing opinions and attitudes of a particular racial group toward another group." *Id.* at 278. She defines interest as "the recognized tactical or strategic advantage that one racial group can gain by forming a coalition with another group." *Id.* Regional organizing is the most effective method for overcoming self-interests and accomplishing interest group convergence. *See infra* notes 326–29 and accompanying text.

324. See Bell, supra note 27, at 524-25.

distinct from each other and, as detailed below, capable of being aligned.325

3. Subordinated Party/Type of Subordination

As did Brown, regional interest convergence contemplates both race and class discrimination, as documented through the story of metropolitan development.

4. Medium for Convergence: Regional Organizing Through Interest-**Based Negotiation**

An interest convergence transaction needs a medium to manage the actual convergence of interests and to formalize the result. In Brown, the Supreme Court was the medium for convergence.³²⁶ In regional interest convergence, as explained below, a possible medium for convergence is regional organizing through an interest-based negotiation framework³²⁷ for the purpose of creating regional alliances around equity issues.

Regional organizing is the extension of community organizing beyond neighborhood boundaries to connect similarly situated people throughout a metropolitan region.³²⁸ "It is not clear ... that our metropolitan policyscape can be changed without a base that can consistently challenge and not just mollify elite decision-makers."³²⁹ Regional organizing efforts seek to build regional coalitions among lowincome and diverse communities by "scaling up" local organizing efforts.³³⁰ As a primary tool of the regional equity movement, regional

^{325.} This Article diverges from Professor Bell's assertion that interest convergence can only occur if the dominant party's status quo remains unchanged.

^{326.} See supra Table 1.

^{327.} See infra notes 327-49 notes and accompanying text for a discussion of interestbased negotiation.

^{328.} See PASTOR ET AL., supra note 31, at 10.

^{329.} See id. at 44. In accordance with the three strands of the regional equity movement discussed earlier, regional organizing has employed a multi-faceted approach based on three variants of regionalism: community development regionalism, policy reform regionalism, and social movement regionalism. See id. at 24. "Community development regionalism has as its focus the use of regional tools to achieve particular projects." Id. at 38 (emphasis omitted). Policy reform regionalism focuses beyond neighborhood boundaries on changes in metropolitan policy. See id. Social movement regionalism views regionalism as "a vehicle for doing politics." Id.

^{330. &}quot;Metropolitan organizing is about changing the rules of the game so that those who have not, will have.... Metropolitan organizing is the new civil rights movement." See id. at 15 (quoting Rev. Cheryl Rivera) (internal quotation marks omitted).

organizing looks beyond "leveraging regional dynamics for [singular] project development" but focuses instead "on how the region itself might become fertile ground for an entirely new scale of power-building, complete with broader implications for policy and political change."³³¹

The regional equity movement is a reform movement that "includes policies and practices to promote and manage regional economic growth in a way that maximizes benefits for residents of low-income communities of color throughout metropolitan regions."³³² The movement seeks to build equity concerns into existing local government laws and economic development practices to eradicate regional inequities.³³³ Although the regional equity movement originated outside of the scope of the regional governance debate, local government law and equitable economic development policy and practice are the legal and normative standards underlying the regional equity movement.³³⁴ Therefore, it is important to identify intersections between these movements to build broader coalitions and maximize support.

Like the new regionalist movement, the regional equity movement began in the 1990s when "social justice advocates recognized the role of the metropolitan development patterns in maintaining and exacerbating racial and economic disparities in income, wealth, health, and opportunity."³³⁵ Like new regionalism, the regional equity movement holds that the region is the most appropriate political forum for developing and implementing new regional equity strategies to address existing regional inequities.³³⁶ Fused with market sensitivities, the movement is an amalgamation of past social justice movements that is

^{331.} See id. at 10. There are gradations of regional organizing. For example, in his book *American Metropolitics*, Myron Orfield argues that central cities and inner-ring suburbs should form "metropolitan coalitions" to overcome the power of the "favored quarter" wealthy suburbs. *See* ORFIELD, *supra* note 32, at xii. For a discussion of the three elements of regional power building, see DEAN & REYNOLDS, *supra* note 177, at 85–158 (listing the three elements developing a regional policy agenda, forming deep coalitions, and political action).

^{332.} Angela Glover Blackwell, *Promoting Equitable Development*, 34 IND. L. REV. 1273, 1278 (2001).

^{333.} See id. at 1278–81.

^{334.} See Reynolds, Intergovernmental Cooperation, supra note 194, at 116.

^{335.} ANGELA GLOVER BLACKWELL & SARAH TREUHAFT, POLICYLINK, REGIONAL EQUITY AND THE QUEST FOR FULL INCLUSION 2 (2008), *available at* http://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/REGIONALEQUITY_QUEST_FOR_INCLUSI ON_FINAL.PDF, *archived at* http://perma.cc/V3LE-U9L2.

^{336.} See id.

around analytic, practical, and political components.³³⁷ built Analytically, the regional equity movement asserts that our metropolitan development patterns need to change because these patterns are responsible for our "most challenging urban problems."³³⁸ The movement's practical elements consider the limitations of past but outdated successes and assert that disparities in housing, economic development, and workforce development require "new metropolitan strategies" that should be "more effective at generating equitable outcomes than either traditional community development efforts or broad national policy."³³⁹ Lastly, the political component asserts "that the region is a productive place for new progressive organizing, partly because it is on the regional scale that many problems are experienced and partly because a confluence of interests make it possible to create new sustainable coalitions among unlikely partners."340 The regional movement presents a comprehensive framework eauity for implementing regional interest convergence.

In their book This Could Be the Start of Something Big, social scientists Manuel Pastor, Chris Benner, and Martha Matsuoka trace the progress of regional organizing and determine that the most fundamental element necessary for effective regional organizing is the identification of links between day-to-day experiences within the region and specific equity issues.³⁴¹ The absence of such links has been a challenge for new regionalism since its inception.³⁴² "Sometimes the link is not explicitly to 'regional equity' as a vision or a goal but rather to specific issues of opportunity or inequality that happen to have regional dimensions."³⁴³ Such links prevent the movement from appearing too abstract and distant from 'real' community issues.

^{337.} See PASTOR ET AL., supra note 31, at 3.

^{338.} Id.

^{339.} Id.

^{340.} Id.

^{341.} See id. at 170. In addition, successful regional organizing campaigns demonstrate "common themes of power analysis, leadership development, and community organizing." See id. at 15.

^{342.} Id. at 170–71.

^{343.} Id. at 171. These links can also serve to raise a "regional consciousness" or "metropolitan identity," particularly if it is true that, while people may pledge devotion to cities, states, and the nation, there is no such allegiance for regions. For most people, "regional identity" is an academic concept. See Briffault, supra note 173. This is despite the fact that the daily lives of most people extend beyond the locality in which they live, including the places where they work, shop, visit friends and family, and are entertained. See id.

Regionalism cannot be imposed as an external solution – and when presented as an abstract concept, it frequently carries little sense of immediacy in people's lives. Thus, it does not serve as a mobilizing force. Nor are academic discussions of regional policies . . . necessarily compelling; such conversations tend to launch conferences, not social movements. By contrast, in those efforts that are contributing to building a social movement, regionalism is not perceived as an external concept or abstract notion. Instead, using popular education based on sophisticated research and analysis, regional equity organizers can demonstrate how regional strategies can bring community and individual aspirations to life.³⁴⁴

Interest-based negotiation is an answer to the question of how to practically approach coalition building among regional constituents. It is one thing to say that regional community coalitions are an important force in addressing regional poverty; it is another to document how those coalitions can form and successfully interact with local government structures. As a multidimensional challenge, regional inequity is particularly suited for interest-based negotiation. "Multidimensional problem-solving refers to the breadth of issues relevant to both the negotiation process and outcome, and the fact that they will be perceived differently by the stakeholders depending on their own perspectives, interests, and objectives in the negotiation."³⁴⁵ Negotiation specialists have identified four steps to completing a successful interest-based negotiation for multidimensional interests; (i) revealing interests, (ii) enlarging interests, (iii) enlightened interests, and (iv) aligning interests.³⁴⁶ Because interest-based negotiation skills

^{344.} PASTOR ET AL., *supra* note 31, at 173.

^{345.} Leonard J. Marcus, Barry C. Dorn & Eric J. McNulty, *The Walk in the Woods: A Step-by-Step Method for Facilitating Interest-Based Negotiation and Conflict Resolution*, NEGOTIATION J. 337, 339–40 (2012). "Problem solving may be unidimensional [(me for me)], two-dimensional [(me against you)], or multidimensional." *Id.* Unidimensional and two-dimensional negotiators employ classic "adversarial conflict." *Id.* at 340. This means that the negotiators are focused on "triumphing" over the opposing party by learning their vulnerabilities and developing strategies to exploit them. *Id.*

^{346.} Step one, revealing interests, requires the parties to reveal their interests by identifying and articulating what they "hope or need to gain or achieve in the negotiation." Marcus, et al., *supra* note 345, at 342. During this step, the parties are instructed to listen actively and to talk to each other in a nonadversarial fashion with the goal being that the parties will achieve self-awareness and appreciate the interests of the other party. *Id.* The second step, enlarging interests, requires the parties collectively to list points of agreement and disagreement. *Id.* at 343. This process helps highlight commonalities and enlarge interests. *Id.* Step three, enlightened interests, is facilitated by the discussion that occurs

are centered on identifying and meeting the underlying needs of all parties involved in a negotiation, these negotiation practices dovetail nicely with the transactional application of interest convergence methodology.³⁴⁷ The principal attributes of interest-based negotiation are "stakeholder interaction" and "bargaining that is based on mutual interests and overlapping objectives" to achieve "a mutually beneficial solution."³⁴⁸ Interest-based negotiation skills serve to counteract power imbalances between the parties. This is particularly important for marginalized communities seeking to interact with local governments.³⁴⁹ To date, lawyers have employed interest-based negotiation techniques in transactions and disputes involving private parties.³⁵⁰ The suggestion here is that interest-based negotiation might be deployed as a large-scale grassroots effort for regional alliance building, particularly through the work of the nonprofit organizations such as PolicyLink³⁵² the Advancement

347. See, e.g., Jim Hilbert, Collaborative Lawyering: A Process for Interest-Based Negotiation, 38 HOFSTRA L. REV. 1083 (2010); see also supra Part III.B.

348. Marcus et al., *supra* note 345, at 339, 340.

349. Roger Fisher and William Ury are widely credited with being the first to articulate principles of interest-based negotiation in their book *Getting to Yes. See generally* ROGER FISHER & WILLIAM URY, GETTING TO YES: NEGOTIATING AGREEMENT WITHOUT GIVING IN (Bruce Patton ed., 2d ed. 1991) (using the phrase "principled negotiation" instead of "interest-based negotiation"). Scholars such as Richard Abel have argued that negotiation is an informal mechanism for justice that increases state control over the disempowered. *See* Richard L. Abel, *Introduction* to 1 THE POLITICS OF INFORMAL JUSTICE: THE AMERICAN EXPERIENCE 1, 6, 11 (Richard L. Abel ed., 1982). Here, interest-based negotiation in the service of regional interest convergence is envisioned to be an exercise in grassroots advocacy. *See id.*

350. See Marcus et al., supra note 345, at 338–39.

351. See infra Part IV.C.

352. *Mission Statement*, POLICYLINK, http://www.policylink.org/about/mission-statemen t (last visited Jan. 18, 2015), *archived at* http://perma.cc/Y6MT-4U5H ("PolicyLink connects the work of people on the ground to the creation of sustainable communities of opportunity

during step two. See id. at 344. Step three is an "exploratory and creative brainstorming process" that encourages "creative problem solving and [allows parties] to practice mini-deal making." Id. The parties rank the list of ideas generated during the brainstorming session by a value system that indicates the level of agreement between the parties (e.g., 1 could demonstrate total agreement). Id. at 345. Each idea is individually discussed, and, after the first ranking, the "maybes" are discussed to determine if these issues can be bumped up to show total agreement or bumped down to show total disagreement. Id. Step four, aligning interests, "is the conclusive bargaining phase." Id. Here, the parties finalize the deal. Id. "Ultimately, such negotiations result in a deal because each party has achieved enough recognizable gain: they each 'get' something. What they each get certainly does not need to be identical, and it does not need to be of equal monetary value; it is gauged by the relative value it has for each stakeholder." Id. at 346.

Project,353 the Gamaliel Foundation,354 and Strategic Concepts in Organizing & Policy Education (S.C.O.P.E),³⁵⁵ serve regional organizing missions and are working to build coalitions that cut across class, ethnic, racial, and ethno-religious differences. These organizing efforts, however, are not without challenges. To date, activists have had more success building broadband coalitions around singular equity issues (such as living wage campaigns and black and brown coalitions among African American and Latino youth) but have had less success building coalitions that run throughout the equity issues contained in a region.³⁵⁶ Interest based negotiation frameworks could work with adjustments to current practices that facilitate implementation for coalition-building negotiations, but that inquiry deserves full attention in future "Addressing metropolitan policy requires that groups scholarship. develop new and different sorts of technical capacities; and shifting policy and political aim from the neighborhood to the region requires a

356. See PASTOR ET AL., supra note 31, at 148.

that allow everyone to participate and prosper. Such communities offer access to quality jobs, affordable housing, good schools, transportation, and the benefits of healthy food and physical activity.")

^{353.} *Mission*, ADVANCEMENT PROJECT, http://www.advancementproject.org/pages/mis sion (last visited Jan. 18, 2015), *archived at* http://perma.cc/4FFL-UN2P. ("Advancement Project is a next generation, multi-racial civil rights organization. Rooted in the great human rights struggles for equality and justice, we exist to fulfill America's promise of a caring, inclusive and just democracy. We use innovative tools and strategies to strengthen social movements and achieve high impact policy change.").

^{354.} About Gamaliel, GAMALIEL, http://www.gamaliel.org/AboutUs.aspx (last visited Jan. 18, 2015), archived at http://perma.cc/H8AQ-KZMT; see also Gamaliel, ROCHESTER ALLIANCE COMMUNITIES TRANSFORMING SOC'Y, INC. (ROC/ACTS), https://sites.google.com/site/rochesteracts/gamaliel (last visited Oct. 29, 2014), archived at http://perma.cc/4JZA-ZQJJ ("Gamaliel is a grassroots network of non-partisan, faith-based organizations in 17 U.S. states, South Africa and the United Kingdom, that organizes to empower ordinary people to effectively participate in the political, environmental, social and economic decisions affecting their lives.... Gamaliel provides leadership training, helps build community organizations, and leads local and national social justice campaigns.").

^{355.} Our Mission, SCOPE, http://scopela.org/about-us/mission-history/ (last visited Jan. 18, 2015), archived at http://perma.cc/77AN-7Q2C; see also Juliana D. Norwood, SCOPE's Novel Approach to Bringing Neighborhood Organizations Together, OUR WEEKLY L.A. (Oct. 17, 2012, 5:00 PM), http://ourweekly.com/news/2012/oct/17/scopes-novel-approach-to-bringing-neighborhood/, archived at http://perma.cc/BRA5-5N4T ("Strategic Concepts in Organizing and Policy Education (SCOPE) builds grassroots power to eliminate the structural barriers to social and economic opportunities for poor and disenfranchised communities. SCOPE combines community organizing, leadership development, strategic alliance building, research, training and capacity building, and policy advocacy to pursue its mission at the local, state and national levels.").

leap of faith that can be difficult to sustain."357 As such, something out of the ordinary is required to advance regional equity. As an animator of the new regionalism movement, regional interest convergence provides that opportunity for the extraordinary. New regionalism is the bridge between regionalists and localists, and as demonstrated in the Venn diagram below, regional interest convergence facilitates that alliance. Until now, there has been no strategy to capitalize on this alignment of interests. Now that regional constituents and their interests have been identified, the next section will briefly discuss the practical application of regional interest convergence.

a New

Regional Interest Convergence

Regionalists Constituent Group Convergence

Regionalists interests are low-income regionalists interests are low-income communities (regardless of race or ethnicity); communities of color (regardless of class); working class residents; immigrants, residents of both inner-ring suburbs and declining exurbs; central city residents; nonprofit and other charitable organizations and social justice groups that provides services to those with community interest. interests

Localists Constituent Group Convergence

Regional Autonomous localists are residents of affluent suburbs and institutional interests such as formal Interest suburbs and institutional interests such as formal local governments, sub-governmental bodies that don't have an executive (such as special districts), business and industry, institutions of higher learning, and, in some cases, central city leadership. Exurban suburbs (newer suburbs that are typically inhabited by high net worth individuals). Equity localists are academics and local government officials and politicians. Convergence, Regionalist Strategy

357. Id. at 14.

C. Resulting Convergence: Regional Interest Convergence in Practice

The convergence methodologies uniquely chart paths to coalition building. In Brown, the resulting interest convergence was the Court's holding to desegregate public schools.³⁵⁸ Interest convergence theory holds that this occurred because of the alignment between the interests of blacks and white elites.³⁵⁹ As a new regionalist strategy, regional interest convergence holds that the resulting convergence of an alignment of the interests of localists and regionalists can advance regional equity while maintaining local government autonomy.³⁶⁰ While the goal of this Article is not to maintain any constituent's status quo, it's worth noting that, to some extent, regional interest convergence preserves local government autonomy because it does not depend upon the creation of any new state legislative avenues for its implementation.³⁶¹ However, the advancement of regional equity must necessarily impair localism's ability to exclude residents from affluent communities because "[p]rivate biases may be outside the reach of the law, but the law cannot, directly or indirectly, give them effect."³⁶² Barriers to regional equity can, therefore, be challenged by using interest-based negotiation to mount effective regional organizing campaigns to align the interests of localists and regionalists and promote regional interest convergence. Regional interest convergence can incentivize the behavior of members of constituent groups who have misperceived their self-interests as opposed to those who intentionally discriminate based on race or class.363

To attempt to alleviate metropolitan poverty, it is important to develop poverty alleviation mechanisms for both urban and suburban communities. As a new regionalist strategy, regional interest convergence is designed to meet the needs of both of these communities because it forces the identification of each regional constituent's

^{358.} See Bell, supra note 27, at 524; see also Brown v. Bd. of Educ., 347 U.S. 483, 495 (1954).

^{359.} See *supra* notes 233–40 and accompanying text for a discussion of the interests in *Brown*.

^{360.} See supra Table 2.

^{361.} See infra Part IV.B.

^{362.} Palmore v. Sidoti, 466 U.S. 429, 433 (1984).

^{363.} *See, e.g.*, Daniel Coleman, *Rich People Just Care Less*, N.Y. TIMES, Oct. 6, 2013, at SR12 (reporting on research that people with "the most social power pay scant attention to those with little such power").

interest, including the interests of both urban and suburban communities.

Ultimately, for a regional equity movement to capture the national imagination fully and contribute to a revitalization of progressive politics around the country, the varving constituencies need to develop a closer communication and coordinating structure throughout the country. They need to build on the telling moments of social combustion-starting at a local and regional scale with the modest epiphanies that come when city dwellers and suburbanites reach out to each other about more sustainable development, when the working poor and the middle class see a common fate resulting from economic insecurity, and when blacks, whites, Latinos, Asians, and others find identity not only in their ethnicity but also in their regional or community connections.³⁶⁴

This section identifies mechanisms that can serve as conceptual anchors for regional interest convergence efforts. Each of these should be explored in future scholarship; however, here they generally fall into one of the following categories: economic development, regional planning, and strategic partnerships between community groups and business. Each of the anchors would appear in the blended section of the Venn diagram on page 821 and is briefly outlined below. It is helpful to identify rationales for regional interest convergence that explain its capacity to work for the benefit of both localists and regionalists—demonstrating why either regional constituent would want to participate in regional interest convergence.

[T]he political challenge of regionalism in any form is to show middle- and lower middle-class voters in suburbs with low and declining property tax values that their interests converge with central cities, that tax base sharing will improve their services while lowering their tax taxes, that it will restrict their fair housing commitments to regional standards, and that it will stabilize their communities against further decline.³⁶⁵

2014]

^{364.} PASTOR ET AL., *supra* note 31, at 215.

^{365.} Troutt, supra note 68, at 1175.

The answers to this challenge lie in the nation's rapidly changing demographics and in communities such as Ferguson, Missouri.³⁶⁶

The country is projected to become majority-minority by 2044.³⁶⁷ With these demographics shifts in population will come shifts in attitudes about class and race that will make the facilitation of regional interest convergence easier to navigate as we move farther and farther away from the black/white dichotomy,³⁶⁸ and the country will suffer in the global marketplace if the majority of its young workforce is underprepared and undereducated for the existing job market.³⁶⁹ Also, while it might seem alarmist to point to Ferguson, Missouri, as a harbinger of things to come, decades of class and race discrimination have produced an untold number of communities like Ferguson, where the poor population doubled and the unemployment rate was over 13% between 2010 and 2012.³⁷⁰ Regional interest convergence can serve as a tool to build regional alliances among communities like Ferguson to strengthen their social and political power within a metropolitan region.

Both localists and regionalists would benefit from regional interest convergence efforts in economic development activities, particularly those aimed at distressed cities in metropolitan regions.³⁷¹ Distressed cities are those that are financially insolvent but have not yet declared

^{366.} Daniel J. McGraw, *Ferguson: Race and the Inner-Ring Suburb*, BELT MAG. (Aug. 14, 2014), http://beltmag.com/ferguson-race-inner-ring-suburb/, *archived at* http://perma.cc/UN36-5KGP.

^{367.} SANDRA L. COLBY & JENNIFER M. ORTMAN, PROJECTIONS OF THE SIZE AND COMPOSITION OF THE U.S. POPULATION: 2014 TO 2060, at 9 (2015), available at http://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2015/demo/p25-1143.pdf; see also McGraw, supra note 366.

^{368.} Although this will undoubtedly bring other challenges. See, e.g., Cashin, supra note 150.

^{369.} In today's labor market, most good jobs require a higher education. See Four Reasons to Use the Equity Frame in Your Campaign, BOLDER ADVOCACY BLOG (April 15, 5:24 PM 2014), http://bolderadvocacy.org/blog/4-reasons-why-equity-is-the-superior-growth-model-for-the-nation-and-3-ways-to-implement-equitable-growth, available at http://perma.cc /LWH8-DUG4. In two decades the majority of all young American workers will be people of color; however, only 28% of black and Latino workers, 15% of Latino immigrants, and 24% of Native Americans and Alaskan natives have an associate's degree. See id.

^{370.} See Elizabeth Kneebone, Ferguson, Mo. Emblematic of Growing Suburban Poverty, BROOKINGS, http://www.brookings.edu/blogs/the-avenue/posts/2014/08/15-ferguson-suburban-poverty (Aug. 15, 2014, 2:30 PM), archived at http://perma.cc/8A6D-585V.

^{371.} Distressed cities are cities that have not declared bankruptcy but are in an acute struggle for economic stability. *See* Liz Farmer, *Distress Signals*, GOVERNING, Mar. 2014, at 42, 44.

Chapter 9 municipal bankruptcy.³⁷² While currently on the rise in some parts of the country, property values plummeted across the nation during the housing crisis, shrinking the value and volume of property tax payments received by state and local governments.³⁷³ These entities responded in various ways, with state governments undergoing dramatic organizational changes to address record budget short falls.³⁷⁴ In addition to cutting back on social services, many state governments are delegating responsibilities to local governments without providing additional financial resources.³⁷⁵ Localities, in turn, have been forced to explore extreme measures to address their current state of financial instability³⁷⁶ as the citizenry of numerous states and localities have been rocked by battles over public pension funds.³⁷⁷ Because the economic stability of localities varies in accordance with a locality's available tax base, the loss of state aid and tax revenue impacts localities within the same region differently-intensifying regional disparities and increasing the number of localities in distress.³⁷⁸ There is a shared sentiment

375. Local governments are losing access to significant financial resources from their state governments. *See* Alan Greenblatt, *The Hand-Off*, GOVERNING, Apr. 2011, at 24, 24.

376. For example, cities such as Richmond, California; Irvington, New Jersey; and North Las Vegas, Nevada have explored the feasibility of using eminent domain to slow the rate of home foreclosures. *See* Shaila Dewan, *A City Invokes Seizure Laws to Save Homes*, N.Y. TIMES, Jul. 30, 2013, at A1. In Richmond, where almost half of the city's homeowners with mortgages owe more than the home's current worth, the city proposes to purchase these loans at fair market value, write down the debt, and allow the homeowner to refinance the mortgage at more favorable terms through partnerships with government programs or nonprofit organizations. *See id.* According to the proposal, if the city's offer to purchase is declined, it will then use eminent domain to obtain the loan. *See id.* Not surprisingly, the banking and real estate industries oppose these sorts of proposals, which is somewhat ironic given their support of the use of eminent domain during the urban renewal heydays. *See id.*; Justin Yurkanin, *Mortgage Relief Plan in North Las Vegas Ignites Morality Debate*, LAS VEGAS REV. J. (Jul. 14, 2013, 9:03 AM), http://www.reviewjournal.com/multimedia/mortgage-relief-plan-in-north-las-vegas-ignites-morality-debate.

377. The most infamous to date is the City of Detroit's bankruptcy filing. See, e.g., Rana Foroohar, Broken City: How Detroit's Epic Bankruptcy Could Help the Rest of the Country, TIME, Aug. 5, 2013, at 22.

378. See supra notes 60, 191 and accompanying text.

^{372.} See Michelle Wilde Anderson, The New Minimal Cities, 123 YALE L.J. 1118 (2014); Farmer, supra note 371.

^{373.} See supra notes 60, 191 and accompanying text.

^{374.} For example, California is in the process of dissolving more than 400 of its redevelopment agencies due to budget constraints. *See* Maura Dolan, Jessica Garrison & Anthony York, *Court Deals Costly Blow to Cities*, L.A. TIMES, Dec. 30, 2011, at A1. Other states have severely reduced employment in state-wide public services such as public education. *See* Dennis Cauchon, *Public Workforce Slashed at Local Level: 5 States Account for Majority of Losses*, USA TODAY, Oct. 29, 2010, at 1A.

among localities that "[t]he federal government has all the money, states have all the power and cities have all the problems."³⁷⁹ When the first suburbs were formed, cities relied on property taxes as the main source of revenue for funding public services.³⁸⁰ That model has been in decline for decades and a suitable replacement has yet to be discovered.³⁸¹ As a result, cities are contemplating a host of austerity measures that are particularly focused on service delivery but could impact their neighboring suburbs as well. Suburban communities, including affluent communities, need to be vested in the economic health of their central cities

Because regional planning is largely an advisory activity that requires the voluntary participation of localities, regional interest convergence efforts in regional planning should revolve around incentive programs. Current federal funding practices for community and economic development programs are fertile ground for regional interest convergence. One program that is particularly relevant is the Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grant Program.³⁸² This program rewards applications for funding that demonstrate regional or multijurisdictional impact. This is because localists and regionalists interests converge where the goal is federal funding for affordable housing and community and economic development programs.³⁸³ Many formerly affluent suburban communities are confronting challenges in their boundaries ranging from economic harms associated with foreclosed and abandoned homes³⁸⁴ to the emerging suburban single family rental housing market, which is becoming increasingly tight and being driven by investment firms that are bundling and securitizing the rent payments.³⁸⁵ Innovative regional planning through regional interest convergence would promote regional affordable housing plans and the equitable expansion of regional transit.

^{379.} See Farmer, supra note 14.

^{380.} See id.

^{381.} See id.

^{382.} See Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grants, U.S. DEP'T HOUSING & URB. DEV., http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/economic_resilience/ sustainable_communities_regional_planning_grants (last visited Jan. 18, 2015), archived at http://perma.cc/PMP8-FKWM.

^{383.} *See* Alexander, *supra* note 25, at 649 (presenting the Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grant Program as an example of a new regionalism).

^{384.} See, e.g., Al Yoon, Blackstone Offers Bond Tied to Rental Income, WALL ST. J., Nov. 1, 2013, at C4.

^{385.} See id.

Innovative strategic partnerships between community groups and business interests also present opportunities for regional interest convergence. "[W]ith a clearer mutuality of interest, regional equity coalitions should attract many more politically powerful interests, including smart-growth, transportation alternatives, and environmental advocates."386 Thinking and acting regionally have been part of business and industry strategic plans for decades.³⁸⁷ Advocacy efforts are catching up. From the civil rights movement to the Occupy Wall Street movement, coalitions are being formed to advocate for social and economic justice.³⁸⁸ In the absence of regional governance structures, business interests and public-private partnerships direct regional growth,³⁸⁹ subordinating community interests to institutional interests in regional affairs.³⁹⁰ Regional interest convergence can counter that outcome because it speaks to both localist "issues of economic competitiveness" and regionalist issues of "sustainability in ways that appeal beyond the usual low-income constituencies."391 Community activists and business interests generally mistrust each other because community activists' emphasis on economic and social justice for residents typically does not seemingly align with the economic and profit emphasis of business interests.³⁹² However, the expansive geography of regions may prove fruitful for historical alliances between business and regional equity advocates.³⁹³ In fact, "regional equity organizers tend to take market realities into account" because "[t]hey know that business can make money and do good in underserved communities, and they understand that companies need to remain economically viable while also paying higher wages."³⁹⁴

Indeed, this helps to explain why equity issues are sometimes slipped in through the backdoor of efforts to promote competitiveness: since elites stand to lose ground in any direct

^{386.} Troutt, supra note 68, at 1189.

^{387.} See generally DEAN & REYNOLDS, supra note 177.

^{388.} See, e.g., Judy Lubin, The 'Occupy' Movement: Emerging Protest Forms and Contested Urban Spaces, 25 BERKELEY PLAN. J. 184 (2012).

^{389.} PASTOR ET AL., supra note 31, at 6-7. This is reminiscent of the rise of business and public-private interests in inner-city development.

^{390.} Id. This is similar to the lack of import afforded central-city residents impacted by urban redevelopment projects.

^{391.} Id. at 3.

^{392.} See id. at 183.

^{393.} See id. at 183-84.

^{394.} Id. at 184.

redistribution, they will be more persuaded by strategies that aid the economy directly—and [through direct efforts] will also help the poor.³⁹⁵

There are, of course, barriers to regional interest convergence. These stem from general counterarguments to regionalism to specific challenges to the interest convergence theory itself. Professor Bell himself acknowledged that there are some limitations to the applicability of the interest convergence theory.³⁹⁶ The strongest barriers to regional interest convergence are (1) overcoming the selfinterests of the various regional constituents, (2) limited financial resources, and (3) limited political will.³⁹⁷ These barriers, however, are not insurmountable, particularly as the number of distressed localities continues to grow, the nation's demographics change, and regional organizing efforts gain further traction. The number of cities experiencing financial instability and experimenting with new austerity measures is forcing both urban and suburban leaders to identify complimentary interests and call for more collaboration and increased political support for regional efforts.³⁹⁸

396. See Bell supra note 27, at 523.

398. See Farmer, supra note 14.

^{395.} Id. at 44. Many commentators have highlighted the fact that eliminating poverty in a region is good for the businesses in the region. See, e.g., Manuel Pastor, Growing Together: New Poverty Policy for New Times (prepared for the Charles Steward Mott Foundation "Defining Poverty Reduction Strategies" Project, Aug. 2008), available at http://www.brookin gs.edu/~/media/Events/2008/9/29%20poverty/pastor_paper.PDF, archived at http://perma.cc/9 T9P-R3ZH. A more fundamental point about interest convergence theory has to be made at this juncture. Much of the critique surrounding Bell's interest convergence theory concerned what some viewed to be a pessimistic outlook on human nature in general and race relations in particular. See, e.g., Cashin, supra note 26, at 254-55. Bell's theory essentially maintains that a successful interest convergence would advance the cause of the minority party without negatively altering the status quo of the majority. See Bell, supra note 27, at 523-33. Regardless of whether one subscribes to this view or a broader articulation of Bell's theory, a new regionalist approach to local government interest convergence could actually improve the status quo of local governments. As explained earlier, a new regionalist approach would provide for a regional governance form that preserved local government autonomy. See supra Part IV.A.1. Therefore, if local governments' interest converged through the adoption of a new regionalist model of governance, then local governments could obtain the benefits of regional equity evidenced by a reduction in poverty and the region's improved ability to participate in the global market place.

^{397.} See, e.g., Justin Driver, *supra* note 241 (noting defining common interests, accommodating diverse interest, and sustainability of converged interest as challenges to the interest convergence theory); *see also* Cashin, *supra* note 150, at 2015–27 (discussing barriers to new regionalism).

V. CONCLUSION

Poverty is no longer concentrated on certain vulnerable populations in central cities and, like a true epidemic, is spreading into the suburbs. Regional inequities consistently worsen, and localized mechanisms for poverty alleviation are insufficient methods to address the problem.³⁹⁹ News headlines and nightly news broadcasts are replete with phrases such as "the shrinking middle class" and "class warfare."⁴⁰⁰ To date, the response to this "class crisis" has yielded mixed results. The federal government has affirmatively entered the conversation by taking such steps as creating the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.⁴⁰¹ In addition, a new generation of grassroots movements has used organizing lessons from past movements, such as the Civil Rights Movement, to create new forms of protest.⁴⁰² Given the breadth of the economic issues, organizers have experienced challenges launching a sustainable and focused offensive against economic injustice.⁴⁰³ Regional organizing efforts, however, are becoming more coordinated and constructed for a long-term engagement.⁴⁰⁴

As dire as the effects of the financial crisis are, it is important to realize that they are the facts of a new regional geography. New proposals have to meet the needs of the new regional spaces. Even past proposals that were successful have to be revised to meet the new regional reality so that regions are not ignored as relevant areas for addressing problems currently conceived of as purely local.⁴⁰⁵ The

- 403. See supra note 216 and accompanying text.
- 404. See Lubin, supra note 388, at 184-87.
- 405. See JACOBS, supra note 2, at 410 (commenting on the general planning process and

^{399.} See Scott L. Cummings, *Recentralization: Community Economic Development and the Case for Regionalism*, 8 J. SMALL & EMERGING BUS. L. 131 (2004).

^{400.} See, e.g., Michael R. Bloomberg, Federal Budgets and Class Warfare, WALL ST. J., Mar. 29, 2012, at A19; Nelson D. Schwartz, The Middle Class Is Steadily Eroding. Just Ask the Business World., N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 3, 2014, at A1; Bob Burnett, Class Warfare: The 2014 Election, HUFFINGTON POST (June 6, 2014, 10:58 AM), http://www.huffingtonpost.com/bobburnett/class-warfare-the-2014-election_b_5459057.html (last updated Aug. 6, 2014, 5:59 AM), archived at http://perma.cc/58KL-L436; Brian Darling, America's Middle Class Is Shrinking. So Who Is to Blame?, MAILONLINE (Aug. 29, 2012, 3:24 PM), http://www.dailymai l.co.uk/debate/article-2194390/Americas-middle-class-shrinking-So-blame.html, (last updated Aug. 29, 2012, 9:56 AM), archived at http://perma.cc/7UET-XSNF.

^{401.} Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Pub. L. No. 111-203, § 1011, 124 Stat. 1376, 1964 (2010); *see also About Us*, CONSUMER FIN. PROTECTION BUREAU, http://www.consumerfinance.gov/the-bureau/ (last visited Jan. 18, 2015), *archived at* http://perma.cc/8QRX-NRAX.

^{402.} See, e.g., Lubin, supra note 388.

recent collective efforts of the federal, state, and local governments and grassroots economic justice movements demonstrate the country's readiness for a national conversation about class and inequity, specifically, regional equity. The regional interest convergence mechanism proposed in this Article is not a panacea but a foundational framework for navigating both the persistent poverty that has plagued central cities for decades and the rise in suburban poverty. "This is no time for romantic illusions and empty philosophical debates This is a time for action.... What is needed is a strategy for change, a tactical program."⁴⁰⁶ Regional interest convergence responds to that call.

quoting an unnamed individual).

^{406.} MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE: CHAOS OR COMMUNITY? 59 (1967).