



## American Association of Law Schools

### Call for Proposals for Open Submission Programs for the 2018 AALS Annual Meeting

The Program Committee for the 2018 Annual Meeting of the Association of American Law Schools is pleased to request proposals for “open submission” programs for the 2018 Annual Meeting to be held January 3-6, 2018 in San Diego. These open submission programs are not sponsored by one of the AALS Sections, and all annual meeting attendees are eligible to participate as speakers.

There are four types of open submission programs for the 2018 Annual Meeting:

- [Open Source](#) programs are traditional scholarly programs other than those sponsored by one of the AALS Sections (e.g., Section on Criminal Justice).
- [Discussion Group](#) programs provide a setting for discussions among a small group of invited participants. Attendees are welcome.
- [Symposium](#) programs are extended sessions (half a day or longer) that focus on in-depth scholarly exploration of a topic of academic interest.
- [Hot Topic](#) programs focus on topics that emerged too late in the year to be included in other types of programs.

If you have a good idea for a proposal, submit it now for the Open Source, Discussion Group or Symposium categories, which have earlier deadlines.

Open Source, Discussion Group, and Hot Topic programs that are selected by the Committee will be scheduled by the AALS staff for 1 ¼ hour sessions. By contrast, Symposium programs are full-day or half-day programs.

All program organizers should allow at least 15 minutes for audience participation. We also welcome proposals for Open Source programs that depart from the typical format of having participants present 10-20 minute talks. Organizers could, for example, submit a proposal for a roundtable style program in which participants answer a series of questions posed by the moderator and the audience. As another example, participants could engage in one or more role-play sessions.

The 2018 Annual Meeting’s theme, selected by AALS President Paul Marcus of the William & Mary Law School, is “**Access to Justice.**” We encourage program organizers to consider that theme in framing their proposals.

#### Deadlines

Proposals for Open Source and Discussion Group programs are due **April 13, 2017.**

Proposals for Symposium programs are due **May 12, 2017.**

Proposals for Hot Topic programs are due **October 20, 2017.**

## General Submission Guidelines

Specific guidelines for submissions for each type of program can be found below. The following guidelines apply to all open submission proposals.

Programs may be proposed by full-time faculty members or administrators at AALS Member or Fee-Paid law schools. International faculty, visiting faculty (who do not retain a permanent affiliation at another law school), graduate students, and non-law school faculty are not eligible to submit proposals but may serve as presenters.

Proposals for Open Source and Symposium programs may reserve one or more spots for participants selected from a call for participation. Participants selected from a call for participation must be identified no later than September 29, 2017. For Discussion Groups, calls for participation will follow a different process that is described in more detail below.

A proposal for any of the four program categories should include:

- The program title.
- The names and contact information of the program organizers.
- A detailed description of the proposed program, including (a) the format of the proposed program, (b) an explanation of the overall goal of the program, and (c) if applicable, an indication that one or more speakers will be selected from a call for participants.
- Names of speakers to be invited including their full names and schools with links to or copies of their curricula vitae.
- If applicable, the journal or edited volume that will be publishing the program.

Program organizers should take the AALS Core Value of diversity into account when developing their proposal. Organizers are also encouraged to include junior faculty and participants who provide viewpoint diversity appropriate to the program as well as representation from a wide range of types of law schools. The allotted time should be used only for the academic discussion itself; if there is any “business” to take care of (e.g., discussion of whether to form a new AALS Section, or combine with an existing one, etc.), that should take place outside of the allotted time.

Examples of successful proposals submitted in prior years are available [here](#).

[Back to Top](#)

## Open Source Programs

Proposals Due: April 13, 2017

Open Source Programs are traditional scholarly programs other than those proposed by an AALS Section. If you have a great idea for a program for the Annual Meeting, please submit an Open Source Program proposal.

Proposals should follow the general guidelines set forth above.

**In reviewing Open Source Program proposals, the Committee will consider the overall quality of the program, including whether:**

- The program is likely to be of interest to Annual Meeting attendees;
- There is a diversity of presenters, including diversity of schools, viewpoints, and identity characteristics;
- The proposal is well written and thoughtfully constructed; and
- There are junior participants included in the proposal.

Open Source Program proposals are due **April 13, 2017** and should be submitted using the online submission form [here](#). Questions may be directed to [opensource@aals.org](mailto:opensource@aals.org)

[Back to Top](#)

## Discussion Group Programs

Proposals Due: April 13, 2017

A Discussion Group program provides a small group of faculty the opportunity to engage in a sustained conversation about a topic of interest to the participants. Discussion Group participants will typically be expected to write and share a short presentation summary (3-5 pages) as part of their participation. The Discussion Group sessions, however, will not feature formal presentations. Instead, the written summaries are intended to facilitate a lively and engaging real-time discussion among the participants. Subjects could be limited to a single substantive area and subject (e.g., “Equitable Remedies in Civil Rights Litigation”). Ideally, however, Discussion Groups will offer an opportunity for faculty in cross-cutting fields to bring useful perspectives to the conversation. For example, a Discussion Group on “Free Speech and Community Policing” could invite perspectives from multiple vantage points, obviously including public law and criminal law, but also including critical, feminist, and comparative law perspectives as well.

A Discussion Group program should feature no fewer than 8, and no more than 15, invited participants, with 12 being the strongly preferred maximum. The proposal should identify approximately 2/3 of the participants or possible invitees, and the balance should be invited from an open call that the AALS staff will disseminate after the proposal is accepted. As with other programs at the Annual Meeting, people who are not invited participants are welcome to attend the Discussion Group, and at some point during the program, the moderator should plan to open discussion up to all attendees.

Proposals for a Discussion Group program should follow the general submission guidelines set forth above. For all accepted proposals, the Annual Meeting Program Committee and the AALS staff will post calls for participation and will facilitate the program organizers’ review of the submissions. The final participant list is subject to review and approval by the Committee. Once the participants are identified, organizers are requested to solicit 1-page Abstracts from them and distribute them among the participants in advance of the meeting.

Organizers are also expected to moderate the Discussion Group unless another moderator is identified in the proposal. Organizers are responsible for ensuring timely communication among the participants prior to the Annual Meeting, including disseminating any abstract or paper requirements and deadlines for circulating to participants.

**In reviewing Discussion Group Program proposals, the Committee will consider the overall quality of the program, including whether:**

- The program is likely to lead to an interesting and constructive discussion among the participants;
- There is a diversity of presenters, including diversity of schools, viewpoints, and identity characteristics;
- The proposal is well written and thoughtfully constructed; and
- There are junior participants included in the proposal.

Discussion Group Program proposals are due **April 13, 2017** and should be submitted using the online submission form [here](#). Questions may be directed to [discussiongroup@aals.org](mailto:discussiongroup@aals.org).

## Symposium Programs

Proposals Due: May 12, 2017

Symposium programs are full-day or half-day programs that focus on an in-depth scholarly exploration of a topic of academic interest. The Committee encourages symposium program organizers to arrange for the publication of the papers in a journal or edited volume.

In addition to following the general submission guidelines set forth above, symposium proposals should also include:

- An abstract of up to 750 words describing the program and its anticipated contribution to legal scholarship.
- Abstracts of up to 250 words describing each proposed symposium paper.
- A description of any publication arrangement (or potential arrangement) for the program in a journal or edited volume.

If the Symposium will be published in a student-edited law review, the AALS will waive the registration fee for up to two student editors to attend the Annual Meeting.

**In reviewing Symposium Program proposals, the Committee will consider the overall quality of the program, including whether:**

- The abstracts reflect papers that are likely to contribute substantially to the scholarship in the field;
- The program is likely to be of interest to Annual Meeting attendees;
- There is a diversity of presenters, including diversity of schools, viewpoints, and identity characteristics;
- The proposal is well written and thoughtfully constructed; and
- There are junior participants included in the proposal.

Symposium Program proposals are due **May 12, 2017** and should be submitted using the online submission form [here](#). Questions may be directed to [symposium@aals.org](mailto:symposium@aals.org).

[Back to Top](#)

## Hot Topic Programs

Proposals Due: October 20, 2017

Hot Topic programs focus on topics that emerged too late in the year to be included in other types of programs. Hot Topic Program proposals that are selected by the committee will be assigned a program time slot that cannot be changed to accommodate speakers due to scheduling constraints.

In addition to following the general submission guidelines set forth above, Hot Topic proposals should include an explanation of why the topic is “hot” and why it was not possible to make the proposal in one of the other program categories with an earlier deadline.

Hot Topic Program Organizers should check the preliminary Annual Meeting program on the AALS website to be sure that there is no direct conflict between the proposed topic and a program already on the schedule. The Committee will narrowly construe this requirement and will try to avoid disqualifying proposals due to conflict with an existing program.

**In reviewing Hot Topic Program proposals, the Committee will consider the overall quality of the program, including whether:**

- The proposed topic is “hot” and could not have been made in one of the other program categories with an earlier deadline
- The program is likely to be of interest to Annual Meeting attendees;
- There is a diversity of presenters, including diversity of schools, viewpoints, and identity characteristics;
- The proposal is well written and thoughtfully constructed; and
- There are junior participants included in the proposal.

Hot Topic Program proposals are due **October 20, 2017** and should be submitted using the online submission form [here](#). Questions may be directed to [hottopic@aals.org](mailto:hottopic@aals.org).

### **Program Committee for the 2018 Annual Meeting**

CARA DRINAN, The Catholic University of America, Columbus School of Law

STEVE MULROY, The University of Memphis, Cecil C. Humphreys School of Law, **Chair**

DONNA NAGY, Indiana University Maurer School of Law

GEOFF RAPP, University of Toledo College of Law

MARIE REILLY, The Pennsylvania State University – Penn State Law

[Back to Top](#)