

SECTION ON SOCIO-ECONOMICS NEWSLETTER

December 4, 2017

Number 39

Socio-Economics Events and Programs	
Marriott Marquis San Diego Marina	

- 1. Thursday, January 4, 2018: 3:30 PM 4:45 PM (See Page 2) Roundtable on Pedagogy for New Law Teachers "Teaching and Scholarship in a Polarized Society"
- 2. Friday, January 5, 2018: 12:15 1:30 PM (See Page 3) Socio-Economics Luncheon
- 3. Saturday, January 6, 2018: 8:45 AM 5:30 PM (See Pages 4 7) Section Annual Meeting Program: "Access to Socio-Economic Justice"
- 4. Sunday, January 7, 2018: 9:00 AM 5:00 PM (See Page 8) Annual Meeting of Society of Socio-Economists (SOS)

Socio-Economics Luncheon Friday, January 5, 2018 12:15 - 1:30 PM Socio-Economics in the Age of Robots Edward Rubin Vanderbilt University (See Page 3)

Additional Opportunities

To Participate !

See Page 7.

In this issue . . .

1. Overview of Programs 1
2. Roundtable on Pedagogy
for New Law Teachers 2
Thursday, January 4, 2018, 3:30-4:45 PM
3. Section Luncheon Address 3
Friday January 5, 2018, 12:15-1:30 PM
4. AALS Section on Socio-Economics
Annual Meeting Program 4-7
Saturday. January. 6, 2018
5. Annual Meeting Program of
Society of Socio-Economists (SOS)
Sunday. January. 6, 2018
6. Socio-Economic Principles 9
7. Section Officers 9

The Changing Legal Profession and the Challenge for the Academy: A Deans' Roundtable

January 6, 2018: 9:15 - 10:30 AM

Co-Moderators: June Carbone (Minnesota) Deleso Alford (Florida A&M)

Deans: Marcilynn Burke (Oregon) Danielle Conway (Maine) Thomas Geu (South Dakota) Hari Osofsky (Penn State) Michael Hunter Schwartz (McGeorge)

* For more information contact Robert Ashford, Syracuse University, 315-491-4888, <u>rhashford@aol.com</u> (Please share with colleagues, friends, and interested persons.)

Thursday, January 4, 2018: 3:30 PM - 4:45 PM Roundtable on Pedagogy for New Law Teachers

"Teaching and Scholarship in a Polarized Society"

Deleso Alford (Florida A&M) Robert Ashford (Syracuse) William Black (Missouri - Kansas City) June Carbone (Minnesota) Lynne Dallas (San Diego) Monica de Sousa (New England) Andrea Freeman (Hawaii) Thomas Geu, Section Chair, (S. Dakota) Jeffrey Harrison (Florida) Philip Harvey Chair-Elect, (Rutgers - Camden) Stefan Padfield (Akron) Steven Ramirez (Loyola- Chicago) Edward Rubin (Vanderbilt) Irma Russell (Missouri - Kansas City)

Program Description

In light of increasing social polarization, this panel explores several related questions: (1) What is the role of the scholar as a member of society? (2) Is there an obligation to do anything other than pursue knowledge? (3) If so, where does it come from? (4) Does the calculus change in response to the political situation? (5) At what point can we or should we say that there is a sufficient threat to our values, as teachers, scholars or as citizens, to obligate us to make choices we might not otherwise make?

Socio-Economics Luncheon

Friday, January 5, 2018 12:15 - 1:30 PM Socio-Economics in the Age of Robots

Edward Rubin

Vanderbilt University

(See Page 3)

Saturday, January 6, 2018: 8:45 AM - 5:30 PM

"Access to Socio-Economic Justice"

Overview

8:45-9:15 AM	Welcome and Overview
9:15-10:30	The Changing Legal Profession and the Challenge for the Academy: A Deans' Roundtable
10:45 AM - 12:00 Noon 1. 2. 3. 4.	Concurrent Sessions The Role of Committed Scholarship in the Trump Era Green Economy and Principles of Sustainability Socio-Economics and "Law and Economics" Tax Reform e Great Financial Crisis Ten Years Later: Lessons Learned?"
12:00-1:30 PM	Lunch Break - (No formal session scheduled)
1:30-3:00 PM 1. 2. 3. 4.	Concurrent Sessions The Case for a Job Guarantee Program The Personification of the Corporation The Socio-Economics of Intimate Relationships Socio-Economics and the Critical Schools
3:10-4:40 pm 1. 2. 3. 4.	Concurrent Sessions Employee Ownership in the Age of the Robots The Role of Shareholder Proposals in Corporate Governance Current State of Play in Financial Services Regulation Flint and Lead Poisoning: Lessons Learned for People, Families and Communities
4:50-5:30 pm	Concluding Plenary

Socio-Economics Newsletter

Socio-Economics Luncheon

Friday, January 5, 2018 12:15 - 1:30 PM

"Socio-Economics in the Age of Robots"

Edward Rubin

University Professor (Law & Political Science) Vanderbilt University

Luncheon Tickets must be purchased by Jan 4) (AALS Registrants mat attend luncheon address beginning at 12:25 without purchase of ticket)

Predictions about the machines taking over the Earth have been common ever since Karel Capek's 1921 play, R.U.R, which coined the term "robot" (the word for "slave" in Czech, his native language). Perhaps this danger will occur sometime in the future, but a more immediate danger confronts us: the ability of machines, much simpler than self-contained androids, to perform a great many of the functions that we need to maintain our society, from answering telephones (already a reality) to driving cars (coming soon), to operating factories on their own (not far away) to designing increasingly more efficient machine-operated factories (within our grasp). As long as these machines continue to be docile slaves, which they will, this might seem like a benefit rather than a danger.

The danger, however, does not arise from the machines themselves, but from their impact on our social system. Ever since the beginning of civilization, human societies have defined most people's position in society by the work that they performed. The agricultural revolution, by creating a food surplus (in fertile areas, at least) could have relieved people of a substantial portion of their labor; instead, the surplus was commandeered by members of the elite, often to build structures that reassured them of an after-life, and ordinary people worked as hard as they did before. Luther, Calvin and other Protestant thinkers who rejected such expenditures then promulgated the idea that people should enjoy menial work because it was service to God. This view may have been one of the major causes of the industrial revolution (Weber). In any case, it meant that the development of machinery would not increase people's leisure very much, but rather require them to keep working hard while the still-greater surplus was captured by a new, secularized elite.

This ideology is in direct conflict with the coming automation revolution -- that is, the age of robots. How will we determine people's position in society, how will people obtain the resources that they need to survive and to flourish when we no longer need their labor to carry out many of the functions that they now perform? One grim solution is that we will accept the existence of an increasingly large class of people who will be resentfully granted the bare minimum needed for survival, and otherwise consigned to lives of misery and despair. Another possibility, only comparatively less grim, is that we will concoct unnecessary, menial tasks that people will be required to perform in order to be provided with adequate resources. These are the possibilities suggested by classical economics, with its ideas about incentives, earnings, scarce resources and labor as a commodity. But socio-economics offers us some more attractive options. It suggests that we can define people's position in society in new ways, allowing them to finally obtain the benefit of a technological advance. In terms of resources, we could either provide them with their basic needs as members of society, or provide a way for them to obtain capital, and thereby derive income from the wealth or value that machinery produces. Either solution will also require that we provide opportunities for people to occupy their time and satisfy their desire to contribute to a society that no longer needs their labor.

Annual Meeting Program Saturday, January 6, 2018: 8:45 AM - 5:30 PM "Access to Socio-Economic Justice"

8:45 - 9:15 AM Welcome and Overview Thomas Geu (South Dakota) - Section Chair Robert Ashford (Syracuse) - Program Chair

9:15 - 10:30 AM

The Changing Legal Profession and the Challenge for the Academy: A Deans' Roundtable

June Carbone (Minnesota) - Co-Moderator Deleso Alford (Florida A&M) - Co-Moderator Marcilynn Burke (Oregon) Danielle Conway (Maine) Thomas Geu (South Dakota) - Section Chair Michael Hunter Schwartz (McGeorge) Hari Osofsky (Penn State)

Session Description

This panel will provide a socio-economic analysis of the larger societal forces affecting the rule of law and the business model that underlies law practice. These forces have increased the demand for "practice-ready" lawyers and undercut the once sharp division between J.D.s and others who deal with legal matters. They have also called into question the ability of the profession to serve as a force for justice and to maintain appropriate professional and ethical standards.

The panel, which features five sitting law school deans, will address the change in "big law" from a profession to a business, the degree to which the legal profession has priced itself out of "small law" (e.g., routine wills divorces, and traffic tickets), the assault on the "middle" (liability caps, restrictions on class actions, mandatory arbitration agreements and other forms of ADR), the eroding barriers between the J.D. licensed profession and other legal activities, and the implications for legal education

10:45 AM -12:00 Noon **Concurrent Sessions**

1. The Role of Committed Scholarship in the Trump Era Ed Rubin (Vanderbilt) Moderator and Presenter Robert Ashford (Syracuse) Margaret Blair (Vanderbilt) Monica DeSousa (New England) Gerald Torres (Cornell)

Session Description

This panel explores several related questions: (1) What is the role of the scholar as a member of society? (2) Is there an obligation to do anything other than pursue knowledge? (3) If so, where does it come from? (4) Does the calculus change in response to the political situation? (5) At what point can we or should we say that there is a sufficient threat to our values, as scholars or as citizens, to obligate us to make choices we might not otherwise make?

Because it deals with inherently normative, social choices, legal scholarship not only describes but recommends, and thus cannot avoid the question of its commitments. In light of the socio-economic commitment to advance good scholarship that does good by way of a methodology that recognizes the inextricable connection between economic facts and values, the Trump Administration's proposed budget, announced tax reform, and various other initiatives are so extreme and poorly considered that they may generate doubts among those who have championed more traditional approaches, and therefore offer an opportunity for socio-economics to affect more general scholarly agendas.

10:45AM - 12:00 Noon

Concurrent Sessions (Continued)

2. Green Economy and Principles of Sustainability Irma Russell (Missouri-KC) Moderator and Presenter

John Dernbach (Widener) Madeline Kass (Thomas Jefferson, visiting at Seattle) James R. May (Delaware)

Session Description

While neo-classical economics is portrayed by many legal scholars as the science of distribution of limited resources, the concept of social good underlies much of human activity. This panel will focus on the important role of teaching in communicating the responsibility to preserve a livable environment. The perspective of a finite world brings recognition that economic activity must operate within the scope of the sustainable limits of our planet earth. The will explore ways to help students grapple with environmental challenges and foster adaptive management in areas such as smart environmental regulations, grid strategies, energy crowd-sourcing, and the lawyer's role in environmental stewardship.

3. Socio-Economics and "Law and Economics" Jeffrey Harrison (Florida) Phillip Harvey (Rutgers - Camden)

Session Description

Socio-Economics and "Law and Economics" rest on distinct foundational approaches to legal scholarship and teaching. How are they similar? How do they differ? In what ways do they assist in fulfilling professional responsibilities and promoting justice? The participants share their views.

4. The Great Financial Crisis Ten Years Later: Lessons Learned? Steven Ramirez (Loyola - Chicago) - Moderator

Session Description

This session offers an open roundtable. All AALS registrants are welcome.

12:00 1:30 PM

Lunch Break (No formal session scheduled)

1:30-3:00 PM

Concurrent Sessions

1. The Case for a Job Guarantee Program

Philip Harvey (Law and Economics, Rutgers) - Moderator Tim Canova (Nova Southeastern) Rohan Grey (Appellate Attorney, Children's Law Center, Brooklyn NY) Scott Myers-Lipton (San Jose State (tentative)

Session Description

This panel will discuss the economic, legal and moral case for recognizing a governmental duty to guarantee decent work for all job seekers, and will describe legislative initiatives at both the local and national level directed at achieving that goal.

2. The Personification of the Corporation

Lynne Dallas (San Diego) - Co-Moderator Sarah Haan (Washington & Lee) - Co-Moderator Kent Greenfield (Boston College) Daniel Greenwood (Hofstra) Eric W. Orts (Wharton School, Pennsylvania) Elizabeth Pollman (Loyola - Los Angeles) Cheryl Wade (St. John's)

Session Description

Conceptions of the corporation over the years have influenced perceptions of the appropriate role of the corporation and legal regulations. This panel focuses on today's conceptions of the corporation that are embedded in the analyses of recent U.S. Supreme Court and Delaware court opinions. It will explore the advisability of these conceptions and their implications for corporations and society.

1:30-3:00 PM

Concurrent Sessions (Continued)

3. The Socio-Economics of Intimate Relationships

June Carbone (Minnesota) - Moderator William K. Black (Missouri - Kansas City) Commentator Eleanor Brown (Penn State) Sara Greene (Duke) Doug NeJaime (Yale)

Session Description

The panel addresses the relationship between law, commitment and financial ties. In the old days, marriage was seen as necessary to marshal the resources to deal with childrearing given what was thought to be the intrinsic dependence of caretakers and children. Marriage also cemented the relationship between two family lines in thinking about inheritance, succession to estates or the grandparents' investment in the next generation. How do we think of these issues today? The panel brings together perspectives on same-sex families, the different legacies of slavery in the United States and Jamaica, and the impact of greater financial insecurity on all couples in exploring a socioeconomic perspective of intimate relationships.

Socio-Economics and the Critical Schools
Deleso Alford (Florida A&M) Moderator
Emma Coleman Jordan (Georgetown)
Tayyab Mahmud (Seattle)
Steven Ramirez (Loyola - Chicago)
Gerald Torres (Cornell) - Commentator

Session Description

Socio-Economics and the critical schools rest on distinct foundational approaches to legal scholarship and teaching. How are they similar? How do they differ? In what ways do they assist in fulfilling professional responsibilities and promoting justice? The following participants share their views.

3:10-4:40 PM

4.

Concurrent Sessions

1. Employee Ownership and Broader Ownership in the Age of the Robots

Robert Ashford (Syracuse) Moderator and Presenter Martin Staubus (Beyster Institute, University of San Diego, Rady School of Management Andrew Stumpff (Michigan and Alabama, Butzel Long, Attys, Ann Arbor, Michigan)

Session Description

One response to the widely documented decline in labor's share in total income that has occurred in virtually all developed "western-style" economies is increased interest in forms of employee ownership by way of employee stock ownership plans, worker cooperatives, But\ and other approaches to broadening employee ownership. Yet, although as "American as apple pie," the "ownership solution" remains largely at the margin of mainstream of political and economic discourse. As our high tech economy moves ever closer to the age of the robots, many on the left and some on the right have advocated a guaranteed minimum income or a guaranteed jobs program. This panel will explore advantages and disadvantages of the employee and broader ownership solution as well as impediments to its broader implementation based on socio-economic principles.

2. The Role of Shareholder Proposals in Corporate Governance

Stefan Padfield (Akron) - Moderator Joshua Fershee (West Virginia) Joan Heminway (Tennessee) Ann Lipton (Tulane) Marcia Narine (Miami)

Session Description

Under Securities Exchange Act Rule 14a-8, shareholders owning relatively small amounts of a company's securities may have a proposal placed in that company's proxy materials for vote at a meeting of the shareholders. The recently House-approved Financial CHOICE Act would increase the stock ownership threshold for submitting shareholder proposals in the company's proxy statement, and would extend the stockholding duration. Some commentators have argued that changing the threshold as proposed would essentially eliminate the filing of shareholder proposals. This panel, featuring editors from The Business Law Prof Blog, will discuss the wisdom of the proposed legislation, as well as the pros and cons of shareholder proposals more generally.

3:10-4:40 PM

Concurrent Sessions (Continued)

3. Current State of Play in Financial Services Regulation Michael P, Malloy (McGeorge) - Moderator and Presenter Hilary Allen (Suffolk) Kristin Johnson (Seton Hall) others TBA

Session Description

Financial services regulation is in a clutch of paradigmatic challenges. The Dodd-Frank Act of 2010 has still not been fully implemented by the agencies charged with its enforcement, and yet it is under threat of a major revision. Brexit raises serious issues about the continuing role of London as a financial center and about the structure and stability of financial markets within the European Union. Other regional systems – from the Pacific Rim, to NAFTA, to Africa – are experiencing serious readjustment as the new millennium proceeds to unfold. This panel will examine the current state of play in the financial sector and make some fraught assessments of future directions.

4. Flint and Lead Poisoning: Lessons for Families, Communities and the Nation

Karen Czapanskiy (Maryland): Moderator and Presenter Emily Benfer (Yale) Samuel Bagenstos (Michigan) Renee Hatcher (John Marshall)

Session Description

Lead poisoning is a chronic issue in rural and urban communities where thousands of people encounter lead in paint, soil, and water. In 2014 in Flint, Michigan, a corrupted municipal water system suddenly began to deliver lead to homes, schools, factories and offices. The Flint crisis, while sudden, shares much with other communities in terms of both causes and effects. This panel will address how political power, law and community development affect the vulnerability of community members to poisoning, and how community institutions, such as schools, daycare providers and government agencies may fail to address harms to children and families.

4:50-5:30 PM

Concluding Plenary Socio-Economics and the Future

An Open Roundtable comprised of all the day's participants and attendants.

ADDITIONAL OPPORTUNITIES TO PARTICIPATE !

- 1. By reason of cancellations, there are several opportunities for additional participants to participate in the AALS Section Program Saturday, January 6, 2018 on a wide range of socio-economic issues.
- 2. The Annual Meeting of the Society of Socio-Economists (SOS), Sunday, January 7, 2018, offers opportunities for additional participation (morning and afternoon).
- ** Interested persons, please send an e-mail stating presentation topic to <u>rhashford@aol.com</u>.**

	Society of Socio-Economists (SOS) 2018 Annual Meeting Program
	"Business, Economics, Law, Poverty, Sustainability, and Inclusive Capitalism"
	Sunday, January 7, 2018 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM
	Marriott Marquis San Diego Marina
	Additional Participants Welcome !
9:00 AM - 11:45 AM.	Plenary SessionTopics to be discussed include:(1) Socio-Economic Theory(2) Sustainable Economic Recovery and Growth(3) Wealth and Income Distribution(4) Race, Gender, and Class(5) Corporate Fiduciary Duties, Social Responsibility, and Governance(6) Social Entrepreneurship(7) Economic, Financial, and Environmental Regulation(8) The Economics of War and Peace(9) Tax Policy(10) Ethical Dimensions of Economic Analysis
12:15 PM - 1:15 PM	Lunch (Box Lunch in Session Room)
1:30 PM - 3:00 PM.	Presentations and Discussion
3:15 PM - 4:45 PM	Presentations and Discussion

Participants Include:

Robert Ashford (Syracuse), Marjorie Cohn (Thomas Jefferson) Paul Davidson (Founding Editor, Journal of Post-Keynesian Economics) Lloyd J. Dumas (Economics, Texas - Dallas) Thomas Geu (Dean, South Dakota), Antonio Gidi (Syracuse) Mike Gravel (Former U.S. Senator, Alaska) K.J. Greene (Thoms Jefferson), Sidney Greenfield (Anthropology - Wisconsin) Richard Gershon (Mississippi), Ralph Hall (Sustainable Development, Virginia Tech U.) Jeffrey Harrison (Law and Economics, Florida) Philip Harvey (Law and Economics, Rutgers - Camden) Richard Hattwick (Founding Editor, Journal of Socio-Economics) Michael P. Malloy (McGeorge) Tayyab Mahmud (Seattle) Delos Putz (Former Law Dean and Professor of Law Emeritus, San Francisco) Steven Ramirez (Loyola - Chicago) Irma Russell (Missouri - Kansas City) Nicolaus Tideman (Economics, Virginia Tech) Johnanne Winchester (United Nations Liaison)

5:00 PM - 5:30 PM Concluding Session

Statement of Socio-Economic Principles

Socio-economics begins with the assumption that economic behavior and phenomena are not wholly governed or described by any one analytical school, but are embedded in society, polity, culture, and nature. Drawing upon economics, sociology, political science, psychology, anthropology, biology anad other social and natural sciences, philosophy, history, law, management, and other disciplines, socio-economics regards competitive behavior as a subset of human behavior within a societal and natural context that both enables and constrains competition and cooperation. Rather than assume that the individual pursuit of self-interest automatically or generally tends toward an optimal allocation of resources, socio-economics assumes that societal sources of order are necessary for people and markets to function efficiently. Rather than assume that people act only rationally, or that they pursue only If-interest, socio-economics seeks to advance a more encompassing interdisciplinary understanding of economic behavior open to the assumption that individual choices are shaped not only by notions of rationality but also by emotions, social bonds, beliefs, expectations, and a sense of morality.

Socio-economics is both a positive and a normative science. It is dedicated to the empirical, reality testing approach to knowledge. It respects both inductive and deductive reasoning. But it also openly recognizes the policy relevance of teaching and research and seeks to be self-aware of its normative implications rather than maintaining the mantle of an exclusively positive science. Although it sees questions of value inextricably connected with individual and group economic choices, socio-economics does not entail a commitment to any one paradigm or ideological position, but is open to a range of thinking that treats economic behavior as involving the whole person and all facets of society within a continually evolving natural context.

Unique among interdisciplinary approaches, however, socio-economics recognizes the pervasive and powerful influence of the neoclassical paradigm on contemporary thought. Recognizing that people first adopt paradigms of thought and then perform their inductive, deductive, and empirical analyses, socio-economists seek to examine the assumptions of the neoclassical paradigm, develop a rigorous understanding of its limitations, improve upon its application, and develop alternative, perhaps complementary, approaches that are predictive, exemplary, and morally sound. With modest amendment, this description of Socio-Economics was the substance of the petition signed by more than one hundred twenty law professors from over fifty AALS member schools, to establish the AALS Section on Socio-Economics. It serves as the constitution of the Section. For a fuller description of socio-economics, see http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=88 2751

Introductory Socio-Economic References

- 1. Paul Stern, "The Socio-Economic Perspective and Its Institutional Prospects," *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, Volume 22, No. 1, 1993, pp. 1-11.
- 2. Richard Coughlin, "Whose Morality? Which Community? What Interests? Socio-Economic and Communitarian Perspectives," *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, Volume 25, 1996, pp. 135-55.
- 3. Robert Ashford, "Socio-Economics: What Is Its Place in Law Teaching?" *Wisconsin Law Review*, Volume 1997, p. 611-623.
- 4. Jeffrey L. Harrison, "Law and Socio-Economics," Volume 49, *Journal of Legal Education*, 224 (1999).
- 5. Richard E. Hattwick, "The Future Paradigm for Socio-Economics: A Call for Papers," Volume 28, *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, 1999, pp. 511-532.
- 6. Robert A. Solo, *The Philosophy of Science, and Economics* (1991) and *Economic Organizations and Social Systems* (2000).
- 7. Steve Keen, Debunking Economics The Naked Emperor of the Social Sciences (2001).
- 8. Socio-Economics and Corporate Law Symposium: The New Corporate Social Responsibility, 76 *Tulane Law Rev.* 1187 (2002).
- 9. Symposium: Teaching Law and Socio-Economics 41 San Diego Law Review 1 (2004).
- 10. Lynne Dallas, *Law and Public Policy: A Socio-Economic Approach* (2004).
- 11. Robert Ashford, "Socio-Economics" Encyclopedia of Law & Society, 2007, pp. 1405-1407.
- 12 "Law and Socio-Economics: A Symposium," 49 Akron Law Review 287 (2016)

Join Today! Section on Socio-Economics

Tel: 202-296-8851 Fax: 202-296-8869 net: <u>www.AALS.org</u>

Chair: Thomas Geu (Dean, South Dakota) Chair-Elect: Philip Harvey (Law and Economics, Rutgers Camden) Program Chair: Robert Ashford (Syracuse)

Opinions expressed herein are not necessarily those of the Section and do not necessarily represent the position of the AALS.